The effects of the informed consent given for cesarean section on anxiety and knowledge

G. Yildirim¹, A. Cetin², M. Aksu³, S. Altiparmak⁴, N. Guler⁵

¹Department of Medical Ethics and the History of Medicine, ²Department of Ostetrics and Gynecology, Cumhuriyet University School of Medicine, Sivas ³Department of Medical Ethics and the History of Medicine, Adnan Menderes University School of Medicine, Aydin ⁴Department of Public Health, Celal Bayar University School of Health Sciences, Manisa ⁵Department of Public Health, Cumhuriyet University School of Health Sciences, Sivas (Turkey)

Summary

Purpose: To determine the effects of information given before cesarean section on women's anxiety levels and their knowledge about informed consent regarding it. *Materials and Methods:* Sixty women who elected to undergo cesarean section were included in the study. The data were collected using the pregnancy-related clinical information form, informed consent form, cesarean information form, and State and Trait Anxiety Inventory. Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U test, chi-square test and Pearson correlation were used as statistical methods. *Results:* The women's knowledge scores before and after they were informed about cesarean section were 14.8 ± 5.5 and 29.8 ± 2.6 , respectively (p < 0.05). Their state anxiety scores before and after they were informed about cesarean section were 28.4 ± 6.6 and 28.0 ± 5.9 , respectively (p > 0.05). *Conclusion:* It was determined that the participants' pre-training knowledge scores about cesarean section increased significantly after they were informed, and that their state and trait anxiety scores decreased very little after they were informed.

Key words: Applied and professional ethics; Clinical ethics; Health personnel; Informed consent; Obstetrics and gynaecology.

Introduction

Cesarean section has become a common operation in developed and developing countries [1, 2]. The rate of cesarean delivery in the world in the last 15 years has steadily increased from five percent to over 20% [3, 4].

According to the Turkey Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS-2008) report [5], 36.7% of the babies born within the five years preceding the survey were delivered by caesarean section. Cesarean section rates in this country are a lot higher than 15% recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) in the scope of "Health for All in 2000" [1, 6].

It has been reported that maternal morbidity and mortality rates related to cesarean delivery are four to seven times more than those related to normal delivery [1]. In this context, it is extremely important for a woman to obtain sufficient information about the complications and risks mentioned above and to choose the most appropriate one for her in the process of receiving the informed consent [7]. Informed consent is the conscious, voluntary appreciation and understanding of the information under no external pressure by a patient with adequate decision-making capacity after he/she is informed about the diagnosis and treatment methods he/she is to undergo and alternatives of these methods and the possible risks and benefits of all these methods [8, 9].

In many countries, a patient's right to be informed about his/her consent has been secured under special legal regulations of the countries [8, 9]. In Turkey, conditions regarding the limits of the information to be provided and the patient's appreciation are not clear.

While patients' consent is obtained, some difficulties are experienced due to cultural differences, the limits of the information to be provided for the patient, concern not to bother the patient, the patient's education level, decision-making ability, and anxiety [10]. Therefore, since the birth event is a phenomenon causing anxiety for women, it is gaining importance that the woman should give her consent after having really understood what it is. The procedure through which informed consent is received from patients is quite a new practice for many patients in this country. The majority of patients do not have sufficient information regarding the importance and contents of an informed consent.

Although there are a limited number of studies conducted on to what extent informed consent is understood by patients, the present authors have not been able to access any local study investigating whether the information provided is likely to achieve its goal. In the international literature too, the number of the studies conducted on the retention of information by patients after they are informed and then their informed consent is obtained is very few. In a number of studies too, it has been stated that women are not provided with clear enough information nor can they understand the information provided for them [11, 12].

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of informed consent given before cesarean section on women's anxiety levels and their knowledge about informed consent regarding cesarean.

The study is original since it determines the relationship between anxiety and informed consent which is obtained when a woman who is planned to have vaginal delivery throughout her pregnancy but decided to have cesarean section in 24 hours after being administered to the hospital. Obtaining the informed consent in a short time in the course of cesarean delivery can cause the patient to give the informed consent without being aware of the importance of it. On the other hand, the patient's anxiety can affect her understanding as well. Therefore, clarification of the situation is of importance.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Written informed consent was obtained from each subject, and the study protocol was approved by the Human Ethical Committee of the university (Record No: 2010-03/16). The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. The patients were told that it was entirely their own decision whether or not to participate in the study, that the data obtained would only be used within the scope of the study, and that the confidentiality of all personal information would be strictly protected.

The research is an experimental one. A preliminary study was performed on 15 women to confirm the reliability of the questionnaire in the light of the literature. Those not wanting to participate in the study, diagnosed with emergency caesarean section, in the active phase of stage 1 of delivery, or having a mental or systemic disease preventing them from answering the questions, and having received information about cesarean section, were excluded.

Patients who presented to the Maternity Ward of Cumhuriyet University Hospital and were decided to have cesarean section comprised the population of the study. With the values of alpha = 0.01, beta = 0.10, $1-\beta = 0.90$, the test power was assessed as p = 0.89694, and it was decided to enroll 60 individuals in the study.

Questionnaire

The following four forms were used to collect data:

- Socio-demographic characteristics and pregnancy-related clinical information form: this form includes questions about general socio-demographic characteristics and cesarean-pregnancy issues such as whether the patient has a chronic disease, whether she has developed any health problems during pregnancy, whether the pregnancy is voluntary, and how many cesarean sections she has undergone previously.
- Informed consent form: it is the form prepared by adding figures to the form previously prepared by the National Association of Obstetrics and Gynecology regarding caesarean section and used in clinics.
- Cesarean information form: this form was prepared within the framework of the informed consent after the literature was screened by the researchers [4, 13]. The form includes questions on how cesarean section is performed, its benefits, risks and complications, and alternative treatments.

State and Trait Anxiety Inventory: it is designed to measure the patient's state and trait anxiety and is based on a four-point likert-scale. It includes 40 items: 20 of them measure state anxiety and the other 20 measure trait anxiety. The scale is in a paper-and-pencil format [14].

Since each scale includes 20 statements, the total score obtained from each scale ranges between 20 and 80. Cronbach's alpha of the inventory was determined to range between 83 and 92 for the state anxiety scale, and between 86 and 92 for the trait anxiety scale. The Anxiety Inventory was developed by Spielberg *et al.* in 1970. It was adapted into Turkish by Oner and Le Compte in 1977 [14]. In the present study, alpha coefficient was found to be 0.7091 for the State Anxiety Inventory and 0.6610 for the Trait Anxiety Inventory.

State anxiety refers to an acute situational-driven episode of anxiety. Trait anxiety refers to a personality trait that is stable over time. In the literature, it has been emphasized that the State Trait Anxiety inventory was found to reflect the relationship between anxiety and what pregnant women suffered [15].

The study did not include a control group. Women's evaluations before they were informed were considered as the control. The data were collected between June and October 2010. In order to avoid bias, the questionnaires were filled in and collected not by the researchers but by specially trained pollsters through face-to-face interviews.

The pollsters first used the form questioning the woman's socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge about cesarean section and then the state-trait anxiety scale form. Then the researcher verbally gave the patient information about cesarian section included in the informed consent using visual material. After the patient was informed, the same pollster who had filled in the state-trait anxiety scale form filled in the forms including the woman's knowledge about cesarean section and anxiety status.

Statistical analysis

In statistical evaluation, the paired t-test was used to compare the knowledge scores with the anxiety scores both of which were achieved by the woman before and after she was given information. The relationship between knowledge scores and anxiety scores obtained before and after informing was evaluated with Pearson correlation.

For the evaluation of cesarean information scores, each correct answer was scored one point and each wrong answer was scored zero, and then the total knowledge score was obtained. Statistical analyzes were based on the total score.

In addition, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U test, chisquare test and frequency were used as statistical methods. Significance was determined as p < 0.05.

Results

Table 1 presents the demographic and selected clinical data of the study population. Of the participants, 36 (60%) were in the 18-28 age group, 33 (55.0%) were primary school graduates, 55 (91.7%) were housewives, 33 (55.0%) had two or more cesarean sections.

The women's knowledge scores before and after they were informed about cesarean section were 14.8 ± 5.5 and 29.8 ± 2.6 , respectively. The knowledge score after they were informed was significantly higher than the

Table 1.— Demographic and selected clinical data of the study population.

Age (years) 18-28 36 (60%) 29-39 23 (38.3%) ≥ 40 1 (2%) Marital status (married) Education Primary school 33 (55.0%) Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation Housewife Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance No No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness No No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention No No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%)	Waishing	Valence (m. 60)
18-28 36 (60%) 29-39 23 (38.3%) ≥ 40 1 (2%) Marital status (married) Education 33 (55.0%) Primary school 33 (55.0%) Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation 4 Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance 8 No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 8 No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention No No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%)	Variables	Values (n = 60)
29-39 23 (38.3%) ≥ 40 1 (2%) Marital status (married) Education Primary school 33 (55.0%) Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention No 22 (36.7%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention No 40 (66.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		26 (600()
		. ,
Marital status (married) Education 33 (55.0%) Primary school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation 55 (91.7%) Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance 3 (6.7%) No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%) </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Education Primary school 33 (55.0%) Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation	_	1 (2%)
Primary school 33 (55.0%) Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation	, ,	
Intermediate-high school 22 (36.7%) University 5 (8.3%) Occupation 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance 3 (6.7%) No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		
University 5 (8.3%) Occupation 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance 3 (6.7%) No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		` /
Occupation Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance *** No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness *** No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention *** No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness *** No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay *** No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery *** No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections *** One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		
Housewife 55 (91.7%) State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance *** No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness *** No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention *** No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness *** No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay *** No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery *** No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections *** One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	•	5 (8.3%)
State officer 3 (5.0%) Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance		
Self-employed 2 (3.3%) Social insurance (6.7%) No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) No 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 0ne One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		
Social insurance No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 524 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 50 (27 (45.0%)) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	State officer	
No 4 (6.7%) Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness Veres No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay Veres No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery Veres No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections Veres One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No No 55 (91.7%)	Self-employed	2 (3.3%)
Yes 56 (93.4%) Presence of chronic illness 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 49 (81.7%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 54 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 50 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Social insurance	
Presence of chronic illness No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness *** No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay *** No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery ** No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections ** One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	No	4 (6.7%)
No 51 (85%) Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Yes	56 (93.4%)
Yes 9 (15%) Pregnancy intention 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Presence of chronic illness	
Pregnancy intention No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	No	51 (85%)
No 22 (36.7%) Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 54 (40.0%) No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 57 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No No 55 (91.7%)	Yes	9 (15%)
Yes 38 (63.3%) Presence of obstetrical illness 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Pregnancy intention	
Presence of obstetrical illness No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	No	22 (36.7%)
No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Yes	38 (63.3%)
No 40 (66.7%) Yes 20 (33.3%) Experience of hospital stay 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Presence of obstetrical illness	
Experience of hospital stay No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery	-	40 (66.7%)
No 11 (18.3%) Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery	Yes	20 (33.3%)
Yes 49 (81.7%) Experience of surgery	Experience of hospital stay	, i
Experience of surgery No	No	11 (18.3%)
No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 27 (45.0%) One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Yes	49 (81.7%)
No 24 (40.0%) Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections 27 (45.0%) One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No No 55 (91.7%)	Experience of surgery	,
Yes 36 (60.0%) Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		24 (40.0%)
Number of cesarean sections One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Yes	,
One 27 (45.0%) Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	Number of cesarean sections	(
Two or more 33 (55.0%) Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)	9	27 (45.0%)
Being aware of the right to have the informed consent No 55 (91.7%)		
No 55 (91.7%)		,
· /		
Yes 5 (8 3%)	Yes	5 (8.3%)
Total 60 (100.0%)		

knowledge score before they were informed (p < 0.05, Table 2).

The participants' state anxiety scores before and after they were informed about cesarean section were 28.4 ± 6.6 and 28.0 ± 5.9 , respectively. Their trait anxiety scores before and after they were informed about cesarean section were 65.2 ± 5.7 and 65.1 ± 5.7 , respectively. When the preand post-state and trait anxiety scores were compared, it was determined that the difference was statistically insignificant (p > 0.05, Table 2).

According to the results of correlation analysis of the knowledge scores and state-trait anxiety scores before and after informed consent (Table 3), there were negative correlations between ESIACS and ESSAAIC (r = -0.09, p = 0.478) but they were not of statistical significance. There was a moderate, positive correlation between ESIBCS and ESIACS (r = 0.25, p = 0.050). There was a strong, positive cor-

Table 2. — The comparison between the knowledge scores and the state-trait anxiety scores of the study group before and after they were informed about cesarean section.

Variables	Before informed consent (n = 60)	After informed consent (n = 60)	Signi- ficance*
Evaluation score of information	14.8 ± 5.5	29.8 ± 2.6	0.000
Evaluation score of trait anxiety	65.2 ± 5.7	65.1 ± 5.7	0.668
Evaluation score of state anxiety	28.4 ± 6.6	28.0 ± 5.9	0.622

^{*} Paired t test was used

Table 3. — The relationship (Pearson correlation) between the knowledge scores and state-trait anxiety scores before and after the participants were informed about cesarean section.

Variables			State and trait anxiety scores			
	ESIBCS	ESIACS	ESSABIC	ESSAAIC	ESTABIC	ESTAAIC
ESIBCS		r = 0.25	r = 0.24	r = 0.21	r = 0.10	r = 0.18
		p = 0.050	p = 0.60	p = 0.113	p = 0.128	p = 0.166
ESIACS			r = 0.21	r = -0.09	r = 0.12	r = 0.88
			p = 0.100	p = 0.478	p = 0.345	p = 0.509
ESSABIC			•	r = 0.69	r = 0.35	r = 0.36
				p = 0.001	p = 0.006	p = 0.004
ESSAAIC				•	r = 0.44	r = 0.46
					p = 0.001	p = 0.001
ESTABIC						r = 0.97
						p = 0.001

ESIBCS: Evaluation score of information before informed consent about cesarean section. ESIACS: Evaluation score of information after informed consent about cesarean section. ESSABIC: Evaluation score of state anxiety before informed consent. ESSAAIC: Evaluation score of state anxiety after informed consent. ESTAAIC: Evaluation score of trait anxiety before informed consent. ESTAAIC: Evaluation score of trait anxiety after informed consent.

relation between ESSABIC and ESSAAIC (r = 0.69, p = 0.001), ESTABIC and ESTAAIC (r = 0.97, p = 0.001), the difference between them (ESIBCS and ESIACS) was statistically significant (p < 0.05).

There was no significant difference between the socio-demographic and selected clinical data of the study population, and their pre- and post-cesarean knowledge scores and state and trait anxiety scores. Only the difference between the increase in the mean state anxiety scores of the participants with no chronic disease (-0.8 ± 4.9) and that of the participants with a chronic disease (2.6 ± 3.6) was considered to be significantly low (p < 0.05).

The difference between the increase in the mean state anxiety scores achieved by the participants with elementary or higher education (-4.3 \pm 3.0) and that achieved by the participants with lower than elementary education (0.3 \pm 4.8) before and after they were provided information was considered significantly low (p < 0.05).

Discussion

It was determined that the participants' pre-training knowledge scores about cesarean section increased significantly after they were informed, and that their state and trait anxiety scores decreased very little after they were informed.

The effect of anxiety

Of the studies investigating the association between informing and anxiety levels after informing, some found higher anxiety levels [16] whereas some found lower anxiety levels [17], but neither high levels nor low levels were statistically significant [18]. In the same study, it was indicated that there was no relationship between videobased informing and anxiety [18]. The very small decrease determined in anxiety scores of the participants before and after they were informed was considered statistically insignificant.

In several studies, it was stated that training programs reduced anxiety levels [17, 19], and that in patients who were informed preoperatively, both anxiety levels [19-21], but especially state-anxiety levels, were lower [20, 22]. On the other hand, in the literature, it has been reported that preoperative education provided about cesarean section reduces preoperative state anxiety levels to a very small extent [23]. In the present study too, anxiety levels decreased after informing, but it was not significant. This situation can be explained in such a way that the woman focuses informing process in order to get the information she needs, but that her anxiety is not significantly affected since uncertainty continues.

In a study of neurosurgical patients in which Amsterdam Preoperative Anxiety and Information Scale was used, a positive correlation was reported between the anxiety level and need for information [19]. In another study, it was reported that patients, especially female patients, were anxious during the preoperative period and that there was a weak correlation between their knowledge scores and anxiety levels [24], and these results were similar to the present findings.

In the literature, it is stated that informed consent can play an important role in women's decision-making process and in experiencing less anxiety [25]. In the present study too, it was found that, although statistically not significant, women's anxiety level after being informed was lower than that before being informed. The study on the use of decision aid by pregnant women, it was stated that their anxiety level decreased after informing, which supports the results of the present study [26].

In a study conducted on pregnant patients [27], no significant relationship was determined between anxiety and socio-demographic characteristics; however, in another study, a significant relationship was found between prenatal anxiety scores and socio-demographic and pregnancy history findings [28]. In an article entitled "comparative study of anxiety between informed and not-informed patients in preoperative period", it was stated that there was no significant relationship between socio-demographic data and the level of anxiety [21]. In another study, it was reported that participants' education level did not affect their state anxiety levels [22].

In the present study, no significant correlation was statistically determined between socio-demographic and selected clinical characteristics and their knowledge scores and anxiety scores. However, the increase in the mean state anxiety scores of those with primary and higher education was significantly lower. As the education level increases, the anxiety level decreases, which is due to the fact that education has a positive contribution to the ability to understand and interpret the information given. The reason why those with chronic diseases had higher anxiety scores might be due to their concerns that their chronic disease might put their health at risk and lead to an uncertainty about their health.

Information scores

In a study in which the participants were informed with audio-visual interventions, it was stated that there was no increase in their knowledge/understanding level. However, several other studies show that information provided through audio-visual interventions is long-lasting. Although, the intervention may also have small positive effects on the quality of information disclosed, and may increase willingness to participate in the short term, it is argued that this evidence is weak [29].

In a study aiming at developing and pre-testing a decision board to facilitate informed choice about delivery approach in uncomplicated pregnancy, it was stated that there was an increase in women's knowledge scores, which is consistent with the present study results [30].

Conclusion

In decision-making, submitting sufficient information, ensuring reasonable involvement, and having the individual understand the information are important components of the informed consent. According to the results of the present study, informed consent increases pregnant women's knowledge, and, although not significantly, decreases their anxiety level. As a result, it can be said that informed consent does not affect anxiety levels of women to undergo cesarean section, but increases their knowledge regarding it.

Limitations of the study and recommendations

The time between providing information for the patient and anxiety controls was short due to cesarean section and this may have influenced the results. The study can be repeated by including patients from different cultures and planning different periods between anxiety controls and providing information.

The study is expected to contribute to the literature since it draws attention to the fact that how appropriate criteria and strategies can be established when the informed consent is obtained from patients in case the time is limited. It may be a guide for the evaluation and revision of legal regulations regarding the informed consent at the national level.

References

- [1] Sahin N.H. "Seksio Rates and Outcome of Cesarean Section". *Univ. J. Sci. Art of Nursing*, 2009, (3), 93 (In Turkish).
- [2] Emmett C.L., Murphy D.J., Patel R.R., Fahey T., Jones C., Ricketts I.W. et al.: "Decision-making about mode of delivery after previous caesarean section: development and piloting of two computer-based decision aids". Health Expectations, 2007, 10, 161.
- [3] Yasar O., Kir Sahin F., Cosar E., Nadirgil Koken G., Cevrioglu. "Birth method choices of primipar women and the factors which have an effect on these choices". *Turkey Clin. J. Gynecol. Obstet.*, 2007, 17, 414 (In Turkish).
- [4] Guise J.M., Denman M.A., Emeis C., Marshall N., Walker M., Fu R. et al.: "Vaginal birth after cesarean". Obstet. Gynecol., 2010, 115, 1267.
- [5] "Hacettepe University Institute of Population Studies". Turkey Demographic and Health Survey TDHS, 2008, 100.
- [6] Plante L.A.: "Public health implications of cesarean on demand". Obstet. Gynecol. Survey, 2006, 61, 807.
- [7] Grace P.J, McLaughlin M.: "When consent isn't informed enough". AJN, 2005, 105, 79.
- [8] The General Directorate of Development of Regulations and Publishing. Patients' Rights Regulations. TC Official Gazette, 1998, 23420, 67
- [9] Demir Zencirci A.: "Attitudes, informed consent obtaining rates and feelings about physical restraint use among nurses". *Turkey Clinics J. Med. Sci.*, 2009, 29, 1573.
- [10] Muula A.S. "Ethical and practical consideration of women choosing cesarean section deliveries without medical indication in developing countries". Croat. Med. J., 2007, 48, 94.
- [11] Kolip P., Büchter R.: "Involvement of first-time mothers with different levels of education in the decision-making for their delivery by a planned caesarean section. Women's satisfaction with information given by gynaecologists and midwives". J. Public Health, 2009, 17, 273
- [12] Akkad A., Jackson C., Kenyon S., Dixon-Woods M., Taub N., Habiba M.: "Patients' perceptions of written consent: questionnaire study". BMJ 2006; 333 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38922.516204.55 (Published 7 September 2006).
- [13] Lee Y.M., D'Alton M.E.: "Cesarean delivery on maternal request: maternal and neonatal complications". Curr. Opin. Obstet. Gynecol., 2008, 20, 597.
- [14] Oner N., Le Compte A.: "Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory". 2 th ed. Istanbul: Bogazici University Publish, 1985 (In Turkish).
- [15] Gunning M.D., Denison F.C., Stockley C.J., Ho S.P., Sandhu H.K., Reynolds R.M.: "Assessing maternal anxiety in pregnancy with the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory: issues of validity, location and participation". J. Reprod. Infant Psychol., 2010, 28, 266.
- [16] Mitchell M. "Conscious surgery: influence of the environment on patient anxiety". *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2008, 64(3), 261.
- [17] Palmer J.A.: "Decreasing anxiety through patient education". Plastic Surg. Nurs., 2007, 27, 215.
- [18] Salzwedel C., Petersen C., Blanc I., Koch U., Goetz A.E., Schuster M.: "The effect of detailed, video-assisted anesthesia risk education on patient anxiety and the duration of the preanesthetic interview: A randomized controlled trial". Anesth. Analg, 2008, 106, 202.

- [19] Perks A., Chakravarti S., Manninen P.: "Preoperative anxiety in neurosurgical patients". J. Neurosurg. Anesthesiol., 2009, 21, 127.
- [20] Hughes S.: "The effects of giving patients pre-operative information". Nurs. Stand., 2002, 16, 33.
- [21] Maward L., Azar N.: "Comparative study of anxiety between informed and not-informed patients in preoperative period". Recherche en Soins İnfirmiers, 2004, 78, 35.
- [22] Kiyohara L.Y., Kayano L.K., Oliveira L.M., Yamamoto M.U., Ina-gaki M.M., Ogawa N.Y. et al.: "Surgery information reduces anxiety in the pre-operative period". Rev. Hosp. Clin. Fac. Med. S. Paulo, 2004, 59, 51.
- [23] Joyner S.D., Monaghan W.P., Osterbrink J., McDonough J.P., Carroll T.L.: "Does improving anesthesia knowledge reduce anxiety among patients before surgery?". AANA Journal, 2009, 77, 388.
- [24] Mitchell M.: "Patient anxiety and modern elective surgery: a literature review". *J. Clin. Nurs.*, 2003, *12*, 806.
- [25] Emmett C.L., Shaw A.R.G, Montgomery A.A., Murphyb D.J., on behalf of the DiAMOND study group: "Women's experience of decision making about mode of delivery after a previous caesarean section: the role of health professionals and information about health risks". *BJOG*, 2006, 113, 1438.
- [26] Frost J., Shaw A., Montgomery A., Murphyb D.J.: "Women's views on the use of decision aids for decision making about the method of delivery following a previous caesarean section: qualitative interview study". BJOG, 2009, 116, 896.
- [27] Bastani F., Hidarnia A., Kazemnejad A., Vafaei M., Kashanian M.: "A Randomized controlled trial of the effects of applied relaxation training on reducing anxiety and perceived stress in pregnant women". J. Midwifery Womens Health, 2005, 50, e36.
- [28] Demir Sevil U., Saruhan A., Ertem G., Kavlak O.: "The determination of pre and post-labor stage anxiety and depression levels of women and to assess the factors that effect the anxiety and depression levels". *Dokuz Eylül University Med. J.*, 2004, 18, 67 (In Turkish).
- [29] Ryan R., Prictor M., McLaughlin K.J., Hill S.: "Audio-visual presentation of information for informed consent for participation in clinical trials (Review)". [Internet]. The Cochrane Collaboration published in The Cochrane Library: Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2009. p:1-55. http://www.thecochranelibrary.com (accessed 20 September 2010).
- [30] Milne J., Gafni A., Lu D., Wood S., Sauve R., Ross S.: "Developing and pre-testing a decision board to facilitate informed choice about delivery approach in uncomplicated pregnancy". BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth [serial on the Internet]. 2009;9:50. [about 3 p.]. http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2393/9/50 (accessed 21 September 2010).

Address reprint requests to: G. YİLDİRİM, Ph.D. Cumhuriyet Universitesi Tip Fakultesi Tıp Tarihi ve Etik Anabilim Dali TR-58140 Sivas (Turkey) e-mail: gyildirimg@gmail.com