Genetic addiction risk score (GARS) ™, a predictor of vulnerability to opioid dependence

Kenneth Blum^{1-8,10,18}, Amanda L.C. Chen¹¹, Panayotis K. Thanos,¹² Marcelo Febo¹, Zsolt Demetrovics⁷, Kristina Dushaj⁸, Abraham Kovoor¹³, David Baron⁴, David E. Smith¹⁴, A Kenison Roy III,¹⁵ Lyle Fried⁵, Thomas J.H. Chen¹⁶, Edwin Chapman, SR.¹⁷, Edward J. Modestino⁹, Bruce Steinberg⁹, Rajendra D. Badgaiyan¹⁸

¹Department of Psychiatry and McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL., USA, ²Division of Addiction Services, Dominion Diagnostics, LLC., North Kingstown, Rl., USA, 3 Division of Neuroscience-Based Therapy, Summit Estate Recovery Center, Los Gatos, CA, USA, ⁴Departments of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁵Division of Neuroscience Research and Addiction Therapy, Shores Treatment and Recovery Center, Port Saint Lucie, Florida, USA, 6Human Integrated Services Unit, University of Vermont Centre for Clinical and Translational Science, College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, ⁷Eotovs Lorarnd University, Institute of Psychology, Budapest, Hungary, *Division of Nutrigenomics, Sanus Biotech, Austin, TX, USA, Department of Psychology, Curry College, Milton, MA, USA, 10 Igene LLC, Austin, Texas, USA, 11 Department of Engineering and Management of Advanced Technology, Chang Jung Christian University, Taiwan, R.O.C., 12Research Institute of Addictions, University of Buffalo, New York, USA, 13Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA, 14Institute of Health and Aging, University of California at San Francisco ,CA USA, 15Bio-behavioral Medical Corporation, Metairie, LA, USA, 16 Department of Occupational Safety and Health, Chang Jung Christian University, Taiwan, R.O.C., ¹⁷Human Genome Center, Howard University, Washington, DC. USA, ¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, Wright State University Boonshoft School of Medicine and Dayton VA Medical Center, Dayton, OH (IE)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Abstract
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Pain treatment with opiates and addiction risk
 - 3.1. Prescription opiate predicament
- 4. Pain, addiction and genetics
 - 4.1. Neurogenetic support of opioid pain mechanisms
- 5. Genes and pain
 - 5.1. Focusing on cannabinergic systems and pain
 - 5.2. Focusing on glutaminergic systems and pain
- 6. Dopaminergic systems and pain
 - 6.1. Mesolimbic dopamine in the suppression of tonic pain
- 7. Stress and pain
 - 7.1. Nutrients and anti-stress
 - 7.2. Stress and dopamine and chronic pain
- 8. Pharmacological aspects of pain control
- 9. Pharmacogenetic testing
- 10. Genetic addiction risk score
 - 10.1. Pharmacogenomics-customized addiction medicine
- 11. Conclusion
- 12. Acknowledgements
- 13. References

1. ABSTRACT

The interaction of neurotransmitters and genes that control the release of dopamine is the Brain Reward Cascade (BRC). Variations within the

BRC, whether genetic or epigenetic, may predispose individuals to addictive behaviors and altered pain tolerance. This discussion authored by a group of

concerned scientists and clinicians examines the Genetic Addiction Risk Score (GARS), the first test to accurately predict vulnerability to pain, addiction, and other compulsive behaviors, defined as Reward Deficiency Syndrome (RDS). Innovative strategies to combat epidemic opioid, iatrogenic prescription drug abuse and death, based on the role of dopaminergic tone in pain pathways, are proposed. Sensitivity to pain may reside in the mesolimbic projection system. where genetic polymorphisms associate with a predisposition to pain vulnerability or tolerance. They provide unique therapeutic targets that could assist in the treatment of pain, and identify risk for subsequent addiction. Pharmacogenomic testing of candidate genes like CB1, mu receptors, and PENK might result in pharmacogenomic, personalized solutions, and improved clinical outcomes. Genetically identifying risk for all RDS behaviors, especially in compromised populations, may be a frontline tool to assist municipalities to provide better resource allocation.

2. INTRODUCTION

The treatment of non-cancerous pain has become a major challenge for primary care medicine. In the United States alone we are faced with an iatrogenically induced opiate /opioid epidemic killing thousands every year with at least 110 dying daily from a narcotic overdose. The devastation caused by overdose deaths continues. Regarding prescription drug abuse, in 2007, there was one unintentional (latrogenic) drug overdose death in the United States every 19 minutes, (1,2). The increase in drug overdose mortality rates has been driven by greater use of prescription opioid analgesics. Although, more overdose deaths involved opioid analgesics than heroin and cocaine combined in 2003 (3,4) (recently, the availability on the street of cheap heroin has driven heroin dependence (5). In 2014 for every opioid overdose death, nine individuals were admitted for treatment of substance use disorder (SUD), 35 visited emergency departments, 161 reported drug dependence, and 461 reported nonmedical opioid use (3). Currently, in 2016 thousands of innocent people are dying from opiate/opioid overdose. This alarming problem is concerning to Republicans and Democrats alike. This consensus paper has been developed by a multidisciplinary group of scientists and clinicians including neuropsychopharmacologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, emergency medicine physicians, geneticists, neuroscientists, medicine physicians, behavioral scientists, clinical addiction specialists, addiction medicine physicians, and chemists. The recommendation that genetic testing should be mandated before treatment of pain with synthetic opioids is an attempt to reduce this problem. This hypothesis involves the understanding of the neurochemical interactions of cannabinergicendorphinergic-glutaminergic – dopaminergic systems.

Regarding both toxicity and treatment efficacy individuals respond differently to medications and certain nutraceuticals (6). Clinical variables in drug (nutrient) effects include the person's age, nutritional status;, kidney and liver function;; concomitant illnesses, and the pathogenesis and severity of the disease being treated. However, inherited genetic variants (polymorphisms), can also result in alterations in metabolism, and influence the efficacy and toxicity of medications and nutraceuticals (7). Clinical observations of inherited differences in drug effects were initially documented in the 1950s when an inherited deficiency in the genes that encode cholinesterase, the enzyme responsible for the breakdown of drug suxamethonium, caused prolonged muscle relaxation (8). The next gene-based drug response was observed when some patients who carried a gene variant that lowered the activity of blood cell glucose 6-phosphate-dehydrogenase, bled to death after they were treated with an anti-malarial therapy (9) . These observed differences in drug response gave rise to the field of 'pharmacogenetics'.

Several genes determine differences in response to drugs and/or nutrients that encode proteins; ,like receptors, transporters, and enzymes, which are involved in multiple pathways of drug/nutrient metabolism, and these individual differences are not due to single gene variants (10). Within this evolutionary era of pharmacogenetics, individual differences, an individual's inherited genotype: that governs response to drugs: and/ or nutrients will be used to improve the efficacy of medications or nutrients. Indeed, understanding the structure/function of normal molecular biology, as well as, certain observable dysfunctions, may lead to promising nutrient-based targets. Knowledge afforded by accurate DNA-based prescreening (genotyping), will make it possible to design accurately, effective nutraceuticals by initiating ongoing research and development that incorporates pharmacogenomics.

Out of the three million unshared DNA bases, individuals could carry gene variants (polymorphisms) that might result in either an increase or a decrease of certain essential drug/nutrient response-related proteins. These proteins form the molecular basis of cell cycle control, and the synthesis or catabolism of structures like receptors, enzymes, and chemical messengers. Many molecular studies show genes that encode drug targets have genetic polymorphisms; that can change their sensitivity to specific medications, and that might offer specific targets for therapy. However, molecular studies that involve a genome-based response to nutrients are needed.

Pharmacogenetics investigates the role of genetics in inter-individual variability in responses to drugs and therapy, . Research concerning

pharmacogenetic studies of opioid drugs are often reported (11). Opioid analgesics are used widely for pain management, and information on genetic polymorphisms and inter-patient variability with opioid therapy are documented involving enzymes, receptors, and transporters related to opioid disposition (pharmacokinetics) and pharmacology (pharmacodynamics) (12). Some examples are the pharmacogenetics of enzymes, including the cytochrome P450s and uridine diphosphoglucuronosyl-transferases, the ABC family of transporters, and opioid receptors.

3. PAIN TREATMENT WITH OPIATES AND ADDICTION RISK

Due to inconsistent criteria for addiction and pain, there is a paucity of the medical literature in the treatment of pain with opioids in patients with active addiction or who are in recovery. For example. inconsistent criteria were used to define addiction and chronic pain typology (13). There are clear differences between dependence, tolerance, and addiction. Patients with a history of drug or alcohol addiction are known to present to physicians with pain complaints. Importantly, the drug-seeking behavior may be seen with either active addiction or pseudoaddiction or as part of deviant behavior such as "drug diversion." The highest rates of opioid analgesic misuse and overdose death are among non-Hispanic whites, men aged 20-64 years, and poor and rural populations. Individuals with a comorbid mental illness who are prescribed opioids are also at high risk for overdose. Nine million people who report the long-term medical use of opioids and about 5 million individuals who report nonmedical use, in the past five months are the two largest US populations at risk for prescription drug overdose (5). Identification of individuals from these high risks populations, as well as, those with genetic risk, should be part of a prescreening in the pain field to reduce pseudo iatrogenic addiction. Prescripton of medications with abuse potential can be safe with interventions like setting medication goals with the patient, achieving adequate pain control, monitored pill counts and drug screens and careful documentation (13). Many medications can be used for pain control in the place of short and long-term opioids or as adjunctives to opiate analgesics.

3.1. Prescription Opiate Predicament

Opiate prescriptions to treat chronic pain have increased significantly with the equivalent of 96 mg of morphine equivalents per person being distributed in 1997, increasing to about 700 mg per person in 2007. Clinicians struggle to treat pain patients without overprescribing these drugs. Patients who abuse opioids have learned to exploit clinicians,

encouraged by a culture of increased practitioner sensitivity to treating pain. Relatively low doses, less than 100 mg morphine equivalent dose per day, are prescribed to about 80 percent of pain patients, and they account for an estimated 20 percent of all prescription drug overdoses. Higher doses of greater than 100 mg morphine equivalents per day are prescribed for ten percent of patients; they account for an estimated forty percent of prescription opioid overdoses. These patients are usually seen by one by prescribing physician; the remaining ten percent of patients who seek care from multiple clinicians are of greatest concern and are prescribed high daily doses and of opioid on numerous occasions. They are at high risk for overdose themselves, accounting for the other 40 percent of overdoses, however, they are likely diverting drugs to others who use them without prescriptions (4, 6, 7).

Without a prior history of addiction or genetic vulnerability to addiction, short-term (1-2 week), use of a therapeutic dose of opiates does not lead to long-term abuse. Opiates are the most commonly prescribed medication for pain and many patients receive them for several years and decades. Conditions that require long-term treatment of pain include low back pain and trigeminal pain and other forms of neuralgia and neuropathies. In these patients, opioid agents start losing analgesic efficacy because of the development of tolerance. Tolerance puts the patients and clinicians in a bind and requires them to increase the dose to achieve the same level of analgesia. Eventually, the dose cannot be increased due to respiratory depression, and other adverse effects and pain control is inadequate and made worse by opiate induced increased pain sensitivity. Chronic users of opiates also become dependent experiencing both physical and mental withdrawal symptoms. Opiates are also known to cause depression leading to suicidality and many patients in this situation overdose. The overdose is not merely an attempt to alleviate pain and frustration but also to act on active or passive suicidal ideations.

Opiates are, therefore, unsafe for the treatment for chronic pain. There is enough information to develop non-pharmacological techniques of controlling chronic pain even though understanding of the brain mechanisms of pain control and pain perception is limited at this time. These techniques are particularly important for individuals with greater genetic vulnerability to opiate dependence.

4. PAIN, ADDICTION AND GENETICS

Drug addiction is a severe worldwide problem with both environmental and genetic influences. It appears that although all genes associated with pain

mechanisms are not common to a predisposition of addictive behavior there are similar antecedents. Thus, similar pharmacogenomic treatment solutions are indeed primary therapeutic targets. As we have hypothesized, reward circuitry impinges on pain control and associated mechanisms. Thus, to be successful in the treatment of pain the clinician should be cognizant that central reward mechanisms and the genes associated with these mechanisms are crucial to understanding pain therapeutics (14-19).

Blum and Nobles' groups discovered the first genetic association, with severe alcoholism (16)). Despite controversy from the scientific community in the 1990s, the Dopamine D2 receptor gene (located on chromosome 11 q22-q23 (15) was the first culprit to identify the dopaminergic reward system as central to all addictive behaviors. The subsequent development of the field "Psychiatric Genetics" was based on early studies of genetic assiociations of addictive behaviors including addiction to alcohol and other psychoactive substances like opiates (14-19. Following these earlier studies the term Reward Deficiency Syndrome (RDS) was coined to help define substance, and behavioral addictions like gambling, sex, and othe obsessive and compulsive behaviors and to understand the genetic risk for these reward behaviors (16). As expected, we now know, following thousands (17, 20 Pubmed 4-22-16) of peer reviewed articles, that all addictive behaviors involve polygenic variants including many SNPs and point-mutations such as the GABA (A) receptor subtypes (21).

Various technologies have uncovered other genes and pathways that underly addiction. Li et al. (19) integrated 2343 items of evidence from peerreviewed publications between 1976 and 2006 linking genes and chromosome regions to addiction by single gene strategies, microarray, proteomics, or genetic studies. They identified 1500 human addiction-related genes and developed KARG (http://karg.cbi.pku.edu. cn), the first molecular database for addiction-related genes with extensive annotations and a friendly Web interface. In a meta-analysis of 396 genes, each gene was supported in the studies by at least two pieces of evidence. Li et al. (19) found 18 molecular pathways (both downstream effects and upstream signaling events) that were statistically significant. Five common molecular pathways were found to be significant for four different types of addictive drugs. Two new pathways were also identified, the GnRH signaling pathway, and the gap junction. They mapped the common pathways into a hypothetical common molecular network for addiction. They also observed that fast and slow positive feedback loops were interlinked through CAMKII, which may provide clues to explain some of the irreversible features of addiction. Interestingly, the common thread involves dopaminergic and glutaminergic genes.

4.1. Neurogenetic Support of Opioid Pain Mechanisms

Differences in human responses to opioids have been well known for some time... For example, a particular type of opioid may provide better analgesia than other opioids for in any one individual patient. Differences in individual responses are not unique to analgesic effect, they can also be seen with other opioid effects such as interactions, side effects and toxicities. As research gained from databases on knockout rodents, pharmacogenetics, and gene polymorphisms unravels the various genetics,receptor interactions, and biochemical differences of opioid responses in humans, some of the differences may be exploited to provide better care. Testing will become more readily available and cost-effective as an aid to clinicians. Instead of having to rely solely on patient feedback, clinical judgment and trial and error. clinicians will be able to predict patient responses to doses of specific opioids, individualize opioid analgesic therapy, and devise optimal opioid rotation strategies. In the future, information of this type may translate into improved patient care, as clinicians become adept at tailoring appropriate opioid therapy. Although presently perfect candidate genes for gene-directed opioid therapy are not obvious (20), certain candidate genes have been studied, and associations with analgesic requirements for acute and chronic pain states, as well as with sensitivity to pain, have been found (22). These associations were a consequence of an intense investigation of the candidate genes for catechol-O-methyl-transferase, melanocortin-1 receptor. guanosine triphosphate glycohydrolase, and mu-opioid receptor. The genetic variants of drug-metabolizing enzymes, in contrast, have well known and described impacts on responses to pharmacotherapy. The analgesic efficacy of codeine, tramadol, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and tricyclic antidepressants are influenced by polymorphisms of the cytochrome P450 enzymes. For example, genetically caused cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6 inactivity, renders codeine ineffective due to lack of morphine formation. slightly decreases the clearance of methadone, and the efficacy of tramadol due to lack of formation of the active O-desmethyl-tramadol (23-25).

In an animal genetic experiment Mogil *et al.* investigated sensitivity and tolerance to morphine. They used two strains of mice and C57BL/6By and BALB/cBy, and seven recombinant inbred strains of their reciprocal F1 hybrids. Following the administration of 20 mg/kg of morphine hydrochloride or saline, sensitivity was measured using a locomotive activity. The 'hot plate' method was used to measure tolerance following the single or repeated administration of 20 mg/kg of morphine hydrochloride or saline. Results indicated that both sensitivity and tolerance to morphine were found to be dependent on genotype,

with inheritance characterized by dominance or partial dominance (22). Ongoing research will target other candidate gene polymorphisms and drug metabolizing enzyme genetic variants searching for associations between drug response and an individual's genetic profile (pharmacogenetics).

The mu opioid receptor gene encodes the receptor targets for some endogenous opioids and studies of mu-opioid receptor polymorphisms, have contributed substantially to knowledge of genetic influences on cocaine and opiate (including heroin, morphine, and synthetic opioids) addiction. Monoaminergic system genes and other genes of the endogenous opioid system, particularly genes encoding the dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine transporters, and dopamine β -hydroxylase, have also been studied (24).

Methadone is an opiate used in substitution therapy to treat opioid dependence. Variability in individualized responses to methadone dosage, affects program retention rates, due; in part to withdrawal symptoms and further heroin craving and use, caused by low, non-optimal dosing. Methadone is a substrate for the P-glycoprotein transporter, encoded by the ABCB1 gene, which regulates central nervous system exposure. Coller et al. demonstrated that that ABCB1 genetic variability influenced daily methadone dose requirements. They found that subjects who are carrying two copies of the wild-type haplotype when compared with those with carriers of one or no copies: required higher methadone doses (98.3. ± 10.4., 58.6. ± 20.9., and 55.4. \pm 26.1. mg/d, respectively; P = 0.0.29). They also found that doses that are significantly lower are required by carriers rather than non-carriers of the AGCTT haplotype (38.0. \pm 16.8. and 61.3. \pm 24.6. mg/d, respectively; P = 0.0.4). Thus, ABCB1 genetic variability may offer help for clinical methadone dosage individualization (23). Opioids are among the P-glycoprotein substrates. Opioid pharmacology may be affected by Multi-Drug Resistance Gene (MDR1) mutations. Higher fentanyl doses are required by carriers of the mutated G118 allele. The G118 allele has been associated with decreased analgesic effects including decreased potency of morphine and morphine-6-glucuronide (26). Clinical response to opioid therapy can be altered by genetic variations which may trigger or modify drug interactions. Another example is the inhibition of CYP2D6 paroxetine which in extensive metabolizers of debrisoquine/sparteine but not in poor metabolizers increases the steadystate plasma concentrations of (R)-methadone (25).

The clinical consequences of opioid Pharmacogenetics have, so far been limited. Genetically precipitated drug interactions that might cause standard opioid doses to be toxic require caution and codeine should not be administered to

poor metabolizers of debrisoquine/sparteine. The ondemand administration of opioids may limit the utility of understanding the effects of mutations on opioid receptors, pain perception and pain processing, to merely explaining why some patients require higher opioid doses. However, the adverse effects profile of patients may indeed be modified by these mutations. An example is labor analgesia; women with the muOR 304G variant demonstrate more responsiveness to opioids and require significantly reduced intrathecal fentanyl ED (50). These findings for intrathecal fentanyl Pharmacogenetics may have implications for patients receiving opioids in other settings (25-27). Thus, Pharmacogenetics can be expected to facilitate individualized opioid therapy.

The following sampling of the genes involved in the addictive process can also be indicative of which genes are engaged in pain mechanisms, pain sensitivity, and opiate addiction. The list includes the mu opioid receptor, a δ-opioid receptor, metabolotropic receptors mGluR6 and mGluR8, nuclear receptor NR4A2, and photolyase-like cryptochrome 1. The dopamine receptor genes 1 to 5, dopamine transporter gene DAT1, Dopamine Beta-Hydroxylase (DBH), proenkephalin (PENK) and prodynorphin (PDYN) genes are implicated. The CAMKII enzyme, Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH), and the CYP2D6, CYP2B6, CYP2C19, and CYP2C9 genes members of the cytochrome P450 superfamily of enzymes have a role. Brain-derived neutropenic factor (BDNF), and Neurotrophin-3 NT-3 are neurotrophic genes, and GABA receptor subunit genes on 5g33, GABA (A)gamma2, OPRM1, G-protein alpha subunits, and OPRK1, alpha2-adrenoceptor are involved. The TTC12, ANKK1, NCAM1, and TTC12 are important for drug exposure in heroin dependence (28), and morphine stimulates zinc finger CCHC-type, RNAbinding motif 1 (ZCRB1) (29) and RGS-R7 (30) .Other genes involved include Interleukin-2, Gbeta5, MAO-A, 287 A/G polymorphism of catechol-Omethyltransferase, serotonin transporter, Ca2+/cAMP responsive element binding protein. CNR1, ABCB1. P-glycoprotein, UGT2B7, and CREB. Some genes are involved in pain mechanisms and the healing process. the following tables represent a sampling (see Tables 1 and 2).

5. GENES AND PAIN

Certainly, some genes and associated polymorphisms and epigenetics are believed to impact pain tolerance and sensitivity. A test to identify candidate gene polymorphism can provide unique therapeutic targets to assist in the treatment of pain. Hypothetically, pharmacogenetic testing of candidate genes like mu receptors and PENK will result in personalized pharmacogenomic solutions, with the potential to improve clinical outcome (31), especially

 Table 1. Genes involved in pain mechanisms

Gene name	Polymorphism	Pathway (s)	Reference (s)
Human κ opioid receptor gene (OPRK1)	In humans, the 36G > T single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) on KOR gene	The κ opioid receptor (KOR) system seems to play a role in stress responsivity, opiate withdrawal and responses to psychostimulants, inhibiting mesolimbic dopamine. KOR gene polymorphisms have been reported to contribute to predisposition to voluntary alcoholdrinking behavior in experimental animals	110
Mu opioid receptor	A118G SNP of the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1)	Mu opioid receptors are critical for heroin dependence, and A118G SNP of the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) has been linked to heroin abuse. In our population of European Caucasians (n = 118), approximately 90% of 118G allelic carriers were heroin users	111
D (2) dopamine receptor gene (DRD2)	A haplotype block of 25.8. kb was defined by 8 SNPs extending from SNP3 (TaqIB) at the 5' end to SNP10 site (TaqIA) located 10 kb distal to the 3' end of the gene	Within this block, specific haplotype cluster A (carrying TaqlB1 allele) was associated with a high risk of heroin dependence in Chinese patients (P = 1.4.25 × 10 (-22); odds ratio, 52.8.0; 95% confidence interval, 7.2.90–382.5. for 8-SNP analysis). A putative recombination 'hot spot' was found near SNP6 (intron 6 ins/del G), creating 2 new daughter haplotypes that were associated with a lower risk of heroin dependence in Germans (P = 1.9.4 × 10 (-11) for 8-SNP analysis). Other studies show the relationship of carrying TAq1A1 vs. A2 alleles in the treatment outcomes for heroin abuse. The results indicate that DRD2 variants are predictors of heroin use and subsequent methadone treatment outcome, and suggest a pharmacogenetic approach to the treatment of opioid dependence. Others found an association between nasal inhalation of opiates and DRD2 promoter - 141 DeltaC polymorphism. Significantly stronger cue-elicited heroin craving was found in individuals carrying D2 dopamine receptor gene (DRD2) Taql RFLP A1 allele than the non-carriers (P < 0.0.01)	112-114
ANKK1 gene	With a non-synonymous G to A transition, rs2734849 produces an amino acid change (arginine to histidine) in C-terminal ankyrin repeat domain of ANKK1	Since DRD2 expression is regulated by the transcription factor NF- kB, we suspect that rs2734849 may indirectly affect dopamine D (2) receptor density. The rs273849 ANNK1 variant alters the expression level of NF-kappaB-regulated genes	115
Catechol-O- methyltransferase (COMT) gene	Val (108/158)met polymorphism of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene	Genotyping 38 Israeli heroin addicts and both parents using a robust family based haplotype relative risk (HRR) strategy. There is an excess of the val COMT allele (likelihood ratio = 4.4.8, P = 0.0.3) and a trend for an excess of the val/val COMT genotype (likelihood ratio = 4.9.7, P = 0.0.8, 2 df) in the heroin addicts compared to the HRR control group	116-117
Proenkephalin gene (PENK)	> or =81 bp allele	Among the subjects with opioid dependence, 66% carried the > or =81 bp allele compared with 40% of subjects with other types of substance abuse (χ^2 = 11.3.1, p < 0.0.04) and 49% of controls (χ^2 = 6.0., p < 0.0.15). These results are consistent with a role of the PENK gene in opioid dependence. In another study, Heroin abuse was significantly associated with PENK polymorphic 3' UTR dinucleotide (CA) repeats; 79% of subjects homozygous for the 79-bp allele were heroin abusers. Such individuals tended to express higher PENK mRNA than the 81-bp homozygotes, but PENK levels within the nucleus accumbens (NAc) shell were most strongly correlated to catecholamine-O-methyltransferase (COMT) genotype. Altogether, the data suggest that dysfunction of the opioid reward system is significantly linked to opiate abuse vulnerability, and that heroin use alters the apparent influence of heritable dopamine tone on mesolimbic PENK and tyrosine hydroxylase function	118-119
Serotonin transporter (hSERT)	Homozygosity at hSERT (especially 10/10) was associated with early opiate addiction, while genotype 12/10 proved to be protective	Reward system pathway	120-121
Dopamine transporter (DAT1)	In the case of DAT1, genotype 9/9 was associated with early opiate addiction. The combination of hSERT genotype 10/10 with DAT1 genotype 10/10 was shown to be a risk factor for opiate abuse under 16 years of age	Reward system pathway	120
Cannabinoid CB1 (brain) receptor gene (CNR1)	A microsatellite polymorphism (AAT) n at the cannabinoid CB1 (brain) receptor gene (CNR1) consists of 9 alleles. The number of i.v. drugs used was significantly greater for those carrying the > or ≥ or = 5 genotype than for other genotypes (P = 0.0.05)	Cannabinoid receptors in the modulation of dopamine and cannabinoid reward pathways	122

Table 2. Genes involved with pain and tissue h	ived with bain and tissue nealing
---	-----------------------------------

Gene	System measured	Medical necessity	Comments
P450	Drug Metabolism	Pharmacogenomic response tied to narcotic drugs	There are 41 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and opioid response
Mu receptor	Opioid response including endorphins	Pain sensitivity and intolerance as well as pharmacogenomic response to opiates	There are 285 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and opiate response
PENK	Precursor to Enkephalins	Pain sensitivity and intolerance	There are 9 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and enkephalins and opiate response
TNF-α	Inflammation	High risk for development of inflammatory secondary messengers. Required increase in NSAID dosage.	There are 20 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and the inflammatory response specific to opiate response
DRD2	Dopamine receptors	Dopamine is required for proper pain sensitivity and tolerance. Most opiates work via dopamine to reduce pain. DA is also the anti-stress molecule.	9 studies involving the drd2 gene polymorphisms and pain
eNOS	Oxidative stress	Nitric Oxide deficiency leads to oxidative stress	There are 52 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and oxidative stress
VEGF	Angiogenesis Factor- required for proper tissue healing	Slow healing process	There are 370 studies relating polymorphisms of this gene and angiogenesis

in those patients who carry risk alleles known to impart vulnerability to addiction as identified by the Genetic Addiction Risk Score (GARS) test. In addition to the determination of vulnerability to the development of addictive behaviors and the test can also determine the likely addiction severity of each person. This information could help in the individualized selection of the type and duration of pain treatment, and therapy and could in the future be used to formulate gene therapy (31). Understanding the role of gene polymorphisms in pain mechanisms is essential regarding the neurochemistry of pain control.

Clark *et al.* (31) analyzed the role of rs1076560 in opioid dependence by genotyping 1,325 opioid addicts. The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), 1076560 of the DRD2, was associated with increased risk for drug dependence (p = 0.0.038, OR = 1.2.9), significant when combined opioid-addicted ancestral samples were examined. Other examples include Tthe work of David's group (32, 33), and Lerman *et al.* (18) are other examples that show the association of both the dopamine D2 transporter and DRD2 gene polymorphisms with nicotine addiction amongst other dopaminergic genes (34-38). Gilbert *et al.* (39) and Spitz *et al.* (40) found dopaminergic gene polymorphisms associated with abstinence from smoking and other addictive behaviors (41-44).

More recently, Hau *et al.* (45) revealed that persistent pain maintains –morphine –seeking behavior after morphine withdrawal via attenuated methyl CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) expression of GluA1at the level of the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA). The CeA is a limbic structure critically involved in the affective dimension of pain. Proteins of GluA1 subunits

of glutamate AMPA receptors were upregulated during morphine withdrawal, and the morphine-seeking behavior was eliminated in withdrawn rats of the pain group by the viral knockdown of CeA GluA1. The authors suggest that according to these results, after protracted morphine withdrawal, direct MeCp2 repression of the GluA1 function is the mechanism that likely maintained morphine-seeking behavior when affective pain becomes chronic.

While there are many neurotransmitters and even second messengers involved in the very complex interaction of pain control mechanisms, it is important to realize that dopamine tone is linked to tolerance and sensitivity to pain. Emery et al. (46) showed in animal experiments that the baseline activation levels of signaling molecules are modulated differently by various opioids and responses to a D2/D3 dopamine receptor agonist are ligand-selective. They stated that the notion that various opioids carry differential risks to the dopamine reward system is supported the complexity of this interplay and should be considered to balance opioid effectiveness with minimal risk. This recommendation serves as the basis for this hypothesis concerning mandated genetic testing. The following is a brief review of how neurotransmitter systems interact and regulate signaling molecules (like cannabinoids-opioids-glutamine and dopamine) to eaffect pain, reward, and addiction, which further supports this view.

5.1. Focusing on cannabinergic systems and pain

Chronic opiate therapy in the non-cancer population has been brought into question by recent studies theof safety and efficacy. Other modalities

that can be used instead of opioids like nonsteroidal medications. anti-inflammatory antidepressants. anticonvulsants, topical agents, cannabinoids, and botulinum have been supported in the literature (47). The promise of cannabinoids as therapeutic agents has driven slowly increasing research into endogenous cannabinoid systems and potential cannabinoid pharmaceuticals. The development and clinical use of cannabis have been complicated by recognition of their botanical source and prohibition. Intense public interest exists in making cannabis available for recreational and medicinal use. Twentyfive states currently allow the use of medical (plant) marijuana and three allow recreational use. There have been problems with the misuse of basic research in the development of synthetic cannabinoids (48) There is political pressure for Federal reclassifying marijuana as a Schedule II drug and facilitation of increased research. Clinical trials are limited due to U.S. regulatory hurdles. Expanded research on cannabis is required, the individual and public health effects of increasing use of herbal cannabis need to be identified, and work is needed to advance understanding of the pharmaceutical potential of cannabinoids as medications (49).

For millennia, preparations of the Cannabis sativa plant have been used for analgesic effect and patients will elect to use cannabis. Despite legal issues and limited evidence to guide care, clinicians need to be prepared to advise them (49). Cannabis strains contain more than one hundred different cannabinoids. Cannabinoid compounds including delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol phytocannabinoids. (THC):, endocannabinoids, and more recently and cannabidiol (CBD) and synthetics have been widely used. Cannabidiol may be anti-inflammatory, anxiolytic. and anti-seizure with no euphoria and some studies have thus far have shown evidence to support the use of cannabinoids for some cancer, neuropathic, spasticity, acute, and chronic pain conditions (49). The bioavailability and metabolism of cannabinoid compounds are very different depending on the route of delivery, inhalation versus oral/sublingual routes.

The endocannabinoid (EC) system is the endogenous system responsible for regulation of pain sensation, and modulation of the pain processing pathways.CB1 receptors are found at presynaptic sites in the central and peripheral nervous system (49), and CB2 receptors are found principally in the immune and hematopoietic systems. The EC system has two main classes of short-acting lipid neurotransmitter endogenous (endocannabinoids). ligands endocannabinoid ligands are either the N-acvl ethanolamine (NAEs) class, for example, anandamide (AEA);, or the monoacylglycerol class, for example, 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG). Both classes are synthesized on demand, then signaling is rapidly terminated by specific enzymes. The EC's act at CB1to negatively regulate neurotransmission throughout the nervous system, while those acting at CB2 regulate the activity of CNS immune cells. Signaling through both of these receptor subtypes has a role in normal nociceptive processing and also in the development resolution of acute pain states (49).

It is of interest that the cannabinoid system plays a major role in the control of pain as well as in mood regulation, reward processing and the development of addiction in less than 10% of nonmedical users (49) Opioid and cannabinoid receptors are both coupled to G-proteins and are expressed throughout the brain reinforcement circuitry. To better understand opioid-cannabinoid interactions researchers used genetically modified mice to help identify some of the specific contributions of each component of these endogenous systems to reward processing. This *in vivo* research may provide novel strategies for therapies in addicted individuals, (50).

Li et al. (51) demonstrate that when compared to early postnatal rats, adult rats y-aminobutyric acid (GABA) release is lower and opioid effects are more evident. In mature, but not in immature rostral ventromedial medulla (RVM) neurons, GABA release was significantly increased by a cannabinoid receptor antagonist, suggesting the presence of local endocannabinoid tone in mature RVM neurons. Neurons in RVM play critical and complex roles in pain modulation. Studies of pain behaviors have shown that electrical stimulation of the RVM produces pain facilitation in young animals (postnatal (PN) day < 21) but predominantly inhibits pain in adults rats. GABAergic neurotransmission and several other neurotransmitter systems, undergo developmental changes that mature by PN day 21. Li et al. (51) show that the probability of GABA release, is lower, and that opioid and that endocannabinoid effects are more evident in (mature) adult rats compared to (immature) early postnatal rats. It is noteworthy that these differences in these properties of RVM neurons may contribute to the developmental changes in descending control of pain from the RVM to the spinal cord. The of studies, Li et al. (51), are in agreement with earlier reports by Lau & Vaughan (52) who hypothesized that through an indirect process of 'GABA disinhibition'-suppression of inhibitory GABAergic inputs onto output neurons along the descending analgesic pathway, opioids and cannabinoids activate descending analgesia. According to Daigle et al. (53), results from their study, suggest that dopamine D1 receptors and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors act in an opposite manner to regulate striatal CB1 cannabinoid receptor signal transduction, thereby affecting pain.

Opioids and cannabinoids and are distinct drug classes that have been used to treat a variety of

pain states either separately or in combination. Indeed. it is widely known that antinociceptive properties in different pain models can be produced by either the opioid or cannabinoid systems. The existence of reciprocal interactions between both systems, suggest a common underlying mechanism which is supported by several biochemical, molecular and pharmacological studies (54). Studies have demonstrated that the endogenous opioid system could be involved in cannabinoid antinociception, and recent data have also provided evidence for a role of the endogenous cannabinoid system in opioid antinociception. It is well known that certain neurons in the periaqueductal gray have co-localization and expression of both CB1 and mu opiate receptors providing antinociception (55). In fact, Haller et al. (56) revealed that if you protect the natural cannabinoid receptor agonist arachidonoylethanolamide from degradation, due to fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), this endogenous agonist of the CB (1) receptor interacts with kappa opioid receptor systems in opioid analgesia. Moreover, inhibition of FAAH produces analgesia (57).

It is very interesting that the endogenous opioids met- and leu-enkephalin are inactivated by peptidases preventing the activation of opioid receptors. Inhibition of enzymes that degrade enkephalin and increase endogenous enkephalin levels stimulate robust behavioral effects. In animal studies, RB101, an inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading enzymes produces antinociceptive, anxiolytic and antidepressant effects without negative side effects typical of opioids. Although enkephalins are not selective endogenous ligands, enkephalins increased by RB101 can produce selective, robust behavioral effects in preclinical models. RB101 induces the antinociceptive effects through either the mu-opioid receptor alone or through activation of both mu- and delta-opioid receptors. The antidepressant-like and anxiolytic effects, however, are mediated only through the delta-opioid receptor suggesting endogenous opioid peptides (58). RB101 induces these behaviors through receptor-selective although enkephalins are not selective endogenous ligands. These findings suggest an important role for other inhibitors of enkephalindegrading enzymes like D-Phenylalanine (DPA) for the treatment of pain, depression, and anxiety. This result has been shown for thiorphan another enkephalinase inhibitor potentiating delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinolinduced antinociception in mice (59).

5.2. Focusing on glutaminergic systems and pain

The main excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters in the adult central nervous system are glutamates, and gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA), and they exert their action through ionotropic and metabotropic receptors respectively. The lonotropic receptors are ligand-gated ion channels involved in fast

synaptic transmission: Metabotropic receptors belong to the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), they are responsible for the neuromodulatory effect of glutamate and GABA (60). Zhuo (61) suggested that chronic anxiety triggered by injury or chronic pain is mediated through presynaptic longterm potentiation (LTP) in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), a key cortical region the of perception for pain. Conversely, NMDA receptor-dependent postsynaptic LTP is involved in the behavioral sensitization of and decreased levels of dopamine likely contribute to the painful symptoms that frequently occur in Parkinson's disease. Zugaib et al. (62) pointed out that ACC can modulate the motivational-affective component of pain and elucidated specific neurotransmitter interactions. Following eloquent research, in guinea pigs, they suggest that activation of NMDA receptors or blockade of GABAergic neurotransmission promotes pronociception.

However, it is now well established that triggering the release of glutamate that ultimately via activation of NMDA receptors enhances the release of dopamine from dopaminergic nerve terminals in the NAc and as such influences pain perception (63). Abnormalities in dopaminergic neurotransmission like decreased levels of dopamine likely contribute to the painful symptoms that frequently occur in Parkinson's disease and have been demonstrated in other painful clinical conditions like fibromyalgia, burning mouth syndrome and restless legs syndrome. Indirect evidence from pharmaceutical trials and evidence from animal models also suggest a role for dopamine in chronic regional pain syndrome and painful diabetic neuropathy. Several novel classes of medication with analgesic properties have bearing on dopaminergic activity as evident in the capacity of dopamine antagonists to attenuate their analgesic capacity (64).

6. DOPAMINERGIC SYSTEMS AND PAIN

The principal ascending pathways for pain (e.g., the spinothalamic tract) originate mainly in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and in the medulla, wherein second order neurons receive synaptic input from primary afferent neurons that supply nociceptors in tissue. The second order neurons of origin are within layer I as well as deep layers (IV-VI) of the dorsal horn (8). Second order neurons of origin of pain-related pathways are mainly wide-dynamic-range neurons or nociceptive-specific neurons, and these two types of neurons process both exteroceptive and interoceptive information associated with pain. Our cutaneous nociceptive system clearly serves as an exteroreceptive role in signaling potentially dangerous stimuli impinging upon our bodies, so that we can respond appropriately, depending upon the situational context. Our interoreceptive nociceptive system signals tissue disorders (e.g., rheumatoid) that are essentially

inescapable, and it calls for responses more obviously in the homeostatic domain.

6.1. Mesolimbic dopamine in the suppression of tonic pain

There is little information to date concerning the identity of the endogenous pain systems that serve to inhibit tonic pain. The suppression of tonic pain involves systems in addition to those known to suppress phasic pain, and that these systems appear to involve forebrain sites, rostral to the brainstem. A clue to this problem is that both opioids and psychostimulants reduce tonic pain and increase transmission in mesocorticolimbic dopamine neurons known to be activated by natural rewards such as food and sex. These neurons arise from dopamine cell bodies that lie in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), and project to various forebrain sites such as the nucleus accumbens (Nacc), amygdala, and prefrontal cortex. Opioids cause the release of dopamine from these neurons through their indirect activation, whereas psychostimulant drugs such as amphetamine and cocaine increase dopamine extracellularly by decreasing reuptake and/or inducing release. Moreover, opioids and psychostimulants have both rewarding and analgesic effects in the clinical setting. suggesting that their effects might share common neural substrates (65); It was Morgan et al (65) who found that dopamine-depleting 6-hydroxydopamine lesions of the ventral midbrain, which contains the cell bodies of the neurons that give rise to ascending forebrain projections, block the analgesic effects of systemic morphine and amphetamine in the formalin, but not the tail flick test. Their findings provided the first evidence that mesolimbic dopamine neurons play a role in the suppression of tonic, but not in the phasic pain. In the recent studies, Taylor et al. (66) found that while the D1-selective agonist SKF38393 was without effect at a dose of 0.5. nmol/side, the D2-selective agonist quinpirole, dose dependency (0.0.5-5.0. nmol/side, bilateral), inhibited the persistent phase of formalin-induced nociception. This was blocked by pre-administration of a selective D2-dopaminergic antagonist raclopride. These results indicate that dopamine agonists that activate D2 receptors in the Nacc inhibit inflammatory pain.

Plastic changes in synaptic neurotransmission in the brain are thought to play a role in chronic pain. Animal studies suggest that striatal and cortical dopaminergic systems participate in pain transmission or modulation. Dopamine D2 receptors have been reported to mediate the inhibitory role of dopamine in animal models for persistent pain (67). Hagelberg et al. (68) showed in healthy volunteers that high D2 receptor availability in the putamen is associated with low cold pain threshold and a high pain modulation capacity induced by conditioning stimulation. This effect

involves mu receptor interaction (69). Furthermore, decreased (18F) FDOPA uptake and increased D2 receptor availability have been demonstrated in the putamen in a chronic orofacial pain state, the burning mouth syndrome (70).

Moreover, it was found that the increase in D2 receptor availability in the left putamen and the decrease in D1/D2 ratio imply that alterations in the striatal dopamineric system, as evaluated by PET, may be involved in chronic orofacial pain conditions. In essence, we hypothesize that low or hypodopaminergic function in the brain may predispose individuals to low pain tolerance. Current research would support this concept, and thus carriers of the D2 TaqA1 allele as observed in reward deficiency syndrome (RDS) (71) behaviors may be good candidates for nutrients or bioactive substances designed to enhance dopamine release in the brain.

Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) metabolizes catecholaminergic neurotransmitters. Numerous studies have linked COMT to pivotal brain functions such as mood, cognition, response to stress, and pain. Both nociception and risk of clinical pain have been associated with COMT genetic variants (a functional marker, rs165774, situated in the 3' untranslated region of a newfound splice variant, (a)-COMT), and this association was shown to be mediated through adrenergic pathways. Recently Meloto et al (72) found that the pain-protective A allele of rs165774 coincides with lower COMT activity, suggesting contribution to decreased pain sensitivity through increased dopaminergic rather than decreased adrenergic tone. Their results provide evidence for an essential role of the (a)-COMT isoform in nociceptive signaling and suggest that genetic variations in (a)-COMT isoforms may contribute to individual variability in pain phenotypes.

7. STRESS AND PAIN

The effects of excessive stress in modern life lead to chronic states of fatigue-related depression. According to the American Academy of Family Physicians, about 2/3 of all office visits are related to stress and depression. Therefore, it is important to understand that it is our position that in an individual with chronic pain, the subject is definitely in a stressful condition, and has increased neuronal firing. There are numerous examples in the literature to support this contention. Furthermore, if an individual has the DRD2A1 variant, numerous studies have shown that resultant low dopamine D2 receptors caused an inability to cope with stress in the family, and as an individual (73-75). In this regard, it is known that stress could even reduce the D2 receptor mRNA message in the substantia nigra, the lateral part of the VTA, the basal ganglia, and especially in the nucleus accumbens

(76). This polymorphism, as well as others, could not only affect the ability to cope with stress and alter one's pain sensitivity.

7.1. Nutrients and anti-stress

This work supports the concept that forebrain dopamine systems are involved in mediating the behavioral effects of chronic mild stress. It further supports the view that in subjects with pain (with chronic mild to moderate stress) with a compromised number of D2 receptor sites and reduced mRNA message, the firing frequency of a catecholaminergic neuron is enhanced and would be guite receptive to I-tyrosine, a dopamine precursor. Moreover, it is also known that neuronal depletion of dopamine could also induce an independent end-product inhibitory state for tyrosine-hydroxylase, which will also respond to I-tyrosine supplementation. In this regard, in order to provide an up-regulation in D2 receptors, we proposed a slow release, personalized designed natural solution, providing a constant dopamine release because of the effect of enhanced opioidergic activity 'via d-phenylalanine (a known enkephalinase inhibitor) on substania nigra GABA neurons. The main point here is that pharmacological manipulation of up-regulation of dopaminergic pathways will ultimately lead to the reduction of stress, since it is well known that the dopamine molecule is considered as the endogenous anti-stress substance.

7.2. Stress and dopamine and chronic pain

The relationship between stress, endorphins and hypothalmic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is well researched (77). Certainly in the world of addiction stress plays a critical role in both the acquisition and relapse. It is known that certain genetic and environmental elements play significant roles in drug dependency and dysregulation of brain reward pathways. In fact, dopamine D2 receptor polymorphisms have been associated with stresscoping mechanisms and posttraumatic stress disorder (78). Interestingly, either stress can induce a painful condition or it can exacerbate the pain. Exposure to stress also activates dopamine transmission in mesocorticolimbic dopamine neurons (79), and this effect appears to involve opioid mechanisms in the VTA. More specifically, intra-VTA infusions of the opioid receptor antagonist Naltrexone, prevent the stress-induced activation of dopamine metabolism in the NAcc and prefrontal cortex, and exposure to stress causes the release of met-enkephalin into the VTA (80). These findings combined with those indicating that exposure to stress can inhibit tonic pain and that intra-VTA morphine induces analgesia in the formalin test suggest that the endogenous release of opioids in the VTA might be a mechanism underlying the stress-induced inhibition of tonic pain. This has been

supported by the finding that intra-VTA infusions of the opioid receptor antagonist, naltrexone, block stressinduced analgesia in the formalin test (81). In addition, it has been proposed that release of the tachykinin neuropeptide, substance P (SP), in the VTA might play a similar role in the stress-induced suppression of tonic pain. Moreover, it has been found that activation of midbrain dopamine neurons by SP did indeed inhibit tonic pain in the formalin test (82,83). The current data suggest that exposure to stress induces analgesia by causing a release of SP in the VTA, which in turn activates mesocorticolimbic dopamine neurons. Finally, opioids, anphetamine, and SP all share the ability to increase dopamine release in the NAcc. Moreover, opioids administered systemically or into the VTA augment dopamine metabolism and extracellular levels of dopamine in the NAc.

With that background, it becomes increasingly clear that tonic pain maybe attenuated by dopamine D2 activation. It follows then that in this hypothesis we embrace the concept that supportive research in the area of developing a natural method to cause a preferential release of dopamine in mesocorticolimbic pathways seems warranted. Thus, support of an attenuation of stress has been found with a variant of a complex with dopaminergic activation properties shown in one double-blind placebo-controlled study (84). We further hypothesize herein that unless there is a way of increasing endogenous opioids, which in turn inhibit GABA causing dopamine release in the NAc, simple neurotransmitter precursors will not be as effective in reducing tonic pain.

8. PHARMACOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF PAIN CONTROL

Opioids such as morphine and heroin and psychostimulant drugs such as amphetamine and cocaine are effective pharmacological tools against chronic pain. Interestingly, amphetamine and related drugs relieve cancer pain and sometimes administered as an adjuvant analgesic in the clinical situation, because they potentiate opioid analgesia and counter opioid-related sedation and cognitive disturbances. In support of these clinical findings, studies have shown that, in rats, psychostimulants potentiate the analgesic effect of morphine in an animal model of persistent pain (85). There is increasing evidence that sites rostral to the brainstem play a critical role in the analgesic effects of opioid and psychostimulant drugs.

It is well known that opioids can inhibit pain by acting at spinal sites and at sites in the brainstem, where they modulate activity in descending brainstem pathways projecting to the spinal cord. A primary site of action is the periacqueductal gray of the brainstem where stimulation of opioid receptors activates, through direct projections, serotonin-containing cells in the nucleus raphe magnus. In turn, the latter cells activate neurons that project, via the dorsolateral funiculus, to the dorsal horns of the spinal cord where they inhibit cells that transmit information about noxious painful stimulation from the periphery to supraspinal sites. The brainstem descending pain-suppression system, however, plays a more important role in the suppression of brief, rapidly rising, transient, and well-localized (i.e., phasic) pain than it does in the suppression of injury-produced persistent (i.e., tonic) and inescapable pain. However, several lines of evidence suggest that the inhibition of the tonic pain requires the activation of neural systems (missing word) to those required to inhibit phasic pain (86).

In terms of molecular genetic testing there are three types of current interest. These include: Pharmacogenetics (primarily evaluating metabolizing enzymes for high and low metabolizers with for example opiates); Genetic Addiction Risk Score (to determine through a panel of reward gene polymorphisms stratification risk or vulnerability to all RDS behaviors including pain tolerance); and Pharmacogenomics (personalized addiction medicine based on genotyping an individual and targeting specific gene loci).

9. PHARMACOGENETIC TESTING

The importance of pharmacogenetic testing of the above-mentioned genes will provide information related to potential genetic antecedents for a predisposition to not only aberrant pain sensitivity but to an inability to heal properly. This genetic information will ultimately lead to a DNA-directed development of a personalized treatment regimen including a pharmacogenomic resolution. Genetic testing will provide medical evidence for rationale treatment protocols.

Based on the findings reviewed herein, we hypothesize that the subsequent coupling of the identified genes as described in this paper, as well as other genes relative to polymorphisms, would allow for additional pharmacologically active substances-based pharmacogenomic mapping. The combination will provide a map that will serve as a platform to derive novel DNA targeted areas, which will link bioactive substances with potential anti-craving actions and pain relief mechanisms. In essence, the linking of known reward genes and other physiological-based endogenous opioid receptors and or other signaling substrates will ensure successful personalized medical treatments for individuals with aberrant inborn pain sensitivity.

Various alleles in the P450 system are currently utilized in pain medicine clinics to evaluate metabolic concerns to help identify high and low metabolizers. For the most part this has not translated to significant

clinical utility, but may have some relevance in terms of buprenorphine/naloxone treatments (87).

10. GENETIC ADDICTION RISK SCORE

It is now known that in terms of nature (genes) and nurture (environment) and behavioral outcome in homo sapiens the contribution is 50% genes and 50% epigenetics. Thus molecular genetic or DNA testing is very important especially linking aberrant behaviors to any individual.

Blum's laboratory proposed (88) that any disturbance along this brain reward cascade due to either gene variations (polymorphisms) or environment (epigenetics) will result in aberrant addictive behaviors or RDS. In spite of a global search to uncover specific or candidate genes or even clusters of genes characterized from high-density SNP arrays, it is well-known that many attempts have not been replicated or have been inconclusive. However, Palmer et al. (89) recently showed that between 25-36 percent of the variance in the generalized vulnerability to substance dependence is attributable to common single nucleotide polymorphisms. Moreover, the additive effect of common single nucleotide polymorphisms is shared across important indicators of comorbid drug problems. Furthermore, as a result of these studies more recent evidence has revealed that specific candidate gene variants account for risk prediction.

Adopting a Bayesian approach, earlier studies from Blum's laboratory determined a Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for the DRD2 A1 variant (low number of D2 receptors) of 74%, indicating that if a child is born with this polymorphism they have a very high risk of becoming addicted to either drugs, food, or aberrant behaviors at some point in their future (90, 91). Over the many years to come since the 1990 finding, laboratories all across the globe including NIDA and NIAAA not only confirmed this early work especially in heroin dependence (92) but extended the magnitude of many other candidate genes, especially genes and second messengers located in the reward circuitry of the brain.

Examples include, Moeller *et al.* (93) who suggested that drug cues contribute to relapse, and their neurogenetic results have identified the DAT1R 9R-allele as a vulnerability allele for relapse especially during early abstinence (e.g., detoxification). The DAT1 9 allele influences the fast acting transport of dopamine sequestered from the synapse leading to a hypodopaminergic trait.

It seems prudent to embrace genetic testing to reveal reward circuitry gene polymorphisms especially those related to dopaminergic pathways as well as opioid receptor (s) as a way of improving treatment outcomes. Understanding the interaction of reward circuitry involvement in buprenorphine effects and respective genotypes provide a novel framework to augment a patient's clinical experience and benefits during opioid replacement therapy (87).

GARS patented (patents pending and issued) genetic risk score represents a panel of known reward genes and associated risk polymorphisms providing genetic risk for addiction and other behaviors including medical monitoring and clinical outcome response.

10.1. Pharmacogenomics – customized addiction medicine

Along these lines Blum and Kozlowski developed the "Brain Reward Cascade" (BRC) (94). This concept served as a blue print for how neurotransmitters interact in the reward system of the brain. In addition, it has been firmly established that respective reward genes that regulate these chemical messengers ultimately control the amount of dopamine released into not only the reward site but other regions of the brain.

Moreover, it is well established that resting state functional connectivity integrity is important for normal homeostatic functioning. Zhang et al. (95) recently showed that in heroin addicts there is reduced connectivity between dorsal anterior cinqulate cortex (dACC) and rostral (rACC), as well as reduced connectivity between subcallosal (sACC) and dACC. Their findings of variations of functional connectivity in three sub-regions of ACC in heroin addicts implied that these sub-regions of the ACC together with other key brain areas (such as dorsal striatum, putamen, orbital frontal cortex, dorsal striatum, cerebellum, amvodala, etc.) potentially play important roles in heroin addiction. Most recently Blum's laboratory along with Zhang's group (96) showed in abstinent heroin addicts that KB220Z™ a complex putative dopamine D2 agonist, induced an increase in BOLD activation in caudateaccumbens-dopaminergic pathways, compared to placebo, following one-hour acute administration. Furthermore, KB220Z™ also reduced resting state activity in the putamen of abstinent heroin addicts. In the second phase of this pilot study of for all ten abstinent heroin-dependent subjects, three brain regions of interest (ROIs) were observed to be significantly activated from resting state by KB220Z™ compared to placebo (P < 0.0.5). Increased functional connectivity was observed in a putative network that included the dorsal anterior cingulate, medial frontal gyrus, nucleus accumbens, posterior cingulate, occipital cortical areas and cerebellum. Utilizing DNA based testing successful development of polymorphic gene testing enabled customized (personalized) anti-obesity compounds. This serves as the basis of futuristic personalized addiction medicine utilizing Dominion's Genetic Addiction Risk Score.

While there is a plethora of very positive experiments involving thousands of studies for many candidate gene associations with all RDS behaviors including pain, there are also negative results (97 -100). Currently, a number of companies have entered the genetic testing arena in the addiction and pain industrial space claiming "personalized care". However, we believe these companies have not done their homework in a scientific manner. These issues include exaggerated claims such as using Blum's original work (41,43) stating that their genetic test is 74% predictive. This is indeed false because they use one gene (DRD2) to back their claim and commercialize a full panel of other candidate genes and never carried out any outcome studies with their panel. Additionally, they make other false claims suggesting that patient's results are compared to population controls. Review of their so called «disease free» controls reveal significant flaws especially in light of not controlling for a remarkable list of RDS behaviors (101). They would have to utilize what has been termed "Super-Controls." Simply stated population controls may carry many invisible RDS behaviors that must be identified so that the control would be RDS free.

Otherwise utilization "Super-Controls." will lead to spurious and false results (102). Another issue is that these companies have selected genes that may be involved in risky behavior but they do not utilize the correct variant in their tests or use very rare variants that do not truly prove addiction risk. Specifically, Mayer and Höllt (103) correctly proposed that the vast number of non-coding, intronic or promoter polymorphisms in the opioid receptors may influence addictive behavior, but these polymorphisms are far less studied, and their physiological significance remains to be demonstrated.» Most importantly, these companies have never performed research to show whether their genetic full-panel test significantly predicts anything let alone addiction risk or any associated behaviors.

While we, the authors, may have a personal bias because over the many years that Blum's laboratory has dedicated work to develop an accurate genetic test to predict true liability/risk for RDS and associated behaviors, we will attempt to explain why our current laboratory testing site has successfully developed the first GARS in conjunction with the Institute of Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado, Boulder.

To develop GARS we first selected ten reward candidate genes (DRD1, 2, 3, 4; DAT1; serotonin transporter, COMT, MAO, GABA, Mu opiate receptor) and a number of SNPs and point mutations that influence the net release of dopamine at the brain

reward site. The variants or SNPs, including pointmutations, were chosen to reflect a hypodopaminergic trait. In terms of validation we partnered with the developers of the Addiction Severity Index- Media Version (ASI-MV), a test mandated in 13 states, for both alcohol and drug severity risk scores (104) We contacted eight very diverse treatment centers across the United States resulting in a total of 393 subjects that were genotyped using the selected GARS panel. All the data was genotyped and analyzed at the Institute for Behavioral Genetics (IBG) at the University of Colorado Boulder. Without going into specifics we found a significant association between a summed score of all GARS panel risk alleles (variant forms) and both the ASI-MV alcohol (p<0.0.04) and drug (P<0.0.5) severity indices in a total of 273 subjects.

In fact, the higher the number of risk alleles the stronger the prediction of alcohol or drug use severity. It was also found that family problems, psychological issues and medicalization significantly correlated as well. One important caveat was that if we changed any specific SNP the significance was lost. This strongly suggests how important the selected GARS panel is and any deviation will produce false results that may occur with other commercial tests that have no research to validate their tests.

11. CONCLUSION

While it is well established that the principal ascending pathways for pain originate in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and in the medulla, the control and sensitivity to pain may reside in additional neurological loci, especially in the mesolimbic system of the brain (i.e., a reward center), and a number of genes and associated polymorphisms may indeed impact pain tolerance and or sensitivity (105). It is hypothesized that these polymorphisms associate with a predisposition to intolerance or tolerance to pain. It is further hypothesized that identification of certain gene polymorphisms provides a unique therapeutic target to assist in the treatment of pain (105). It is hereby hypothesized that pharmacogenetic testing of certain candidate genes (i.e., CB1, mu receptors, PENK etc.) will result in pharmacogenomic solutions personalized to the individual patient, with potential improvement in clinical outcomes (106). However, the equal or even more important message herein, is that we the authors believe that with continuing iatrogenic induced opioid epidemic with so many lives young and old dying, not only in America but across the globe, it is incumbent upon the government to carefully assess the situation. One path to victory is that genetic testing for not only pharmacogenetics (metabolism that could affect dosage) but genetic vulnerability or predisposition of genetic risk to all RDS behaviors (107), substance and non-substance related including cannabis (108,109).

become mandated, especially in all pain clinics prior to treatment of both acute and chronic, non-cancerous pain to prevent addiction.

12. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors A and B contributed equally to this article. The basic first draft was equally developed by KB and AJC. Subsequent drafts were reworked by PKT, MH, DS, AK, DB, DES, AKR, LF, ER, MF, ZD, RDB. TJC and ERB. The final draft was developed by KB, EJM and BS. Rajendra D. Badgaiyan is supported by the National Institutes of Health grants 1R01NS073884 and 1R21MH073624; Kenneth Blum and Eric R. Braverman are the recipients of a grant to PATH FOUNDATION NY, by Life Extension Foundation. Ft/ Lauderdale. Florida. Marcelo Febo is the recipient of R01DA019946. Peter Thanos is the recipient of RO1HD70888-01A1. Kenneth Blum, PhD is the holder of a number of US and Foreign patents issued and pending related to Nutrigenomics and Nutraceuticals. Through IGENE LLC., Dr. Blum licensed the Genetic Addiction Risk Score (GARS) ™ to Dominion Diagnostics, LLC. He is a paid consultant of Dominion Diagnostics, LLC, IGENE. Dr. Blum is a member of the scientific advisory board of Dominion Diagnostics, LLC and is Chief Scientific Advisor of Dominion Diagnostics, LLC and SanSus Biotech . Drs. Blum (Chairman), Badgaiyan, Thanos and Febo are members of Sansus Biotech Scientific Advisory Board. The authors state that there are no other conflicts of interest.

13. REFERENCES

- CDC: Prescription painkiller overdoses. In: Policy Impact. Eds: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, Georgia (2011)
- A Hall, J Logan, R Toblin, J Kaplan, J Kraner, D Bixler, A Crosby, L Paulozzi: Patterns of abuse among unintentional pharmaceutical overdose fatalities. *JAMA* 300, 2613–2620 (2008)

DOI: 10.1001/jama.2008.802

PMid:19066381

 R Black, K Trudeau, T Cassidy, S Budman, S Butler: Associations between public health indicators and injecting prescription opioids by prescription opioid abusers in substance abuse treatment. J Opioid Manag 9, 5–17 (2013)

DOI: 10.5055/jom.2013.0142

PMid:23709299

- CDC: CDC grand rounds: prescription drug overdoses - a U.S. epidemic. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 61, 10-13 (2012)
- 5. M Lynch: Nonmedical use of prescription opioids: what is the real problem? Pain Res Manag 18, 67–68 (2013)
- J Rice, A White, H Birnbaum, M Schiller, D Brown, C. Roland: A model to identify patients at risk for prescription opioid abuse, dependence, and misuse. Pain Med 13, 1162-1173 (2012) DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2012.01450.x

PMid:22845054

- 7. E Michna, E Ross, W Hynes, S Nedeljkovic, S Soumekh, D Janfaza, D Palombi, R Jamison: Predicting aberrant drug behavior in patients treated for chronic pain: importance of abuse history. J Pain Symptom Manage 28, 250–258 (2004) DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2004.04.007 PMid:15336337
- P Montagna: Recent advances in the pharmacogenomics of pain and headache. Neurol Sci 28 (Suppl. 2) S208-S212 (2007)
- B Meshkin, T Chen, A Chen, T Prihoda, H Morrisette, E Braverman, S Blum, K Cassel, L Williams, R Waite, B Downs, H Tung, P Rhoades, K Blum: Health economics of nutrigenomics in weight management. Gene Ther Mol Biol 12, 25-30 (2008)
- 10. M Gätke, J Bundgaard, J Viby-Mogensen: Two novel mutations in the BCHE gene in patients with prolonged duration of action of mivacurium or succinvlcholine during anaesthesia. Pharmacogenet Genomics 17, 995-999 (2007)

DOI: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e3282f06646

PMid:18075469

- 11. E Beutler: Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: a historical perspective. Blood 111, 16-24 (2008) DOI: 10.1182/blood-2007-04-077412 PMid:18156501
- 12. B Broekman, M Olff, F Boer: The genetic background to PTSD. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 31, 348-362 (2007) DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.10.001 PMid:17126903
- 13. Weaver M, Schnoll S. Abuse liability in opioid therapy for pain treatment in patients

- with an addiction history. Clin J Pain. 2002 18 (4 Suppl):S61-9 (2002).
- 14. U Stamer, F Stüber: Genetic factors in pain and its treatment. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 20, 478-484 (2007) DOI: 10.1097/ACO.0b013e3282ef6b2c PMid:17873601
- 15. D Grandy, M Litt, L Allen, J Bunzow, M Marchionni, H Makam, L Reed, R Magenis, O Civelli: The human dopamine D2 receptor gene is located on chromosome 11 at g22-g23 and identifies a Tagl RFLP. Am J Hum Genet 45, 778–785 (1989)
- 16. K Blum, E Noble, P Sheridan, A Montgomery, T Ritchie, P Jagadeeswaran, H Nogami, A Briggs, J Cohn: Allelic association of human dopamine D2 receptor gene in alcoholism. JAMA 263, 2055-2060 (1990) DOI: 10.1001/jama.1990.03440150063027 DOI: 10.1001/jama.263.15.2055
- 17. P Conneally: Association between the D2 dopamine receptor gene and alcoholism. A continuing controversy. Arch Gen Psychiatry 48, 757–759 (1991) DOI:10.1001/archpsvc.1991.01810320081013 DOI:10.1001/archpsyc.1991.01810310082014 PMid:1883261

PMid:1969501

- 18. C Lerman, P Shields, E Wileyto, J Audrain, L Hawk, A Pinto, S Kucharski, S Krishnan, R Niaura, L Epstein: Effects of dopamine transporter and receptor polymorphisms on smoking cessation in a bupropion clinical trial. Health Psychol 22, 541-548 (2003) DOI: 10.1037/0278-6133.22.5.541 PMid:14570538
- 19. C Li, X Mao, L Wei: Genes and (common) pathways underlying drug addiction. PLoS Comput Biol 4, e2 (2008)
- 20. E Woodcock, L Lundahl, M Burmeister, M Greenwald: Functional mu opioid receptor polymorphism (OPRM1 A (118) G) associated with heroin use outcomes in Caucasian males: A pilot study. Am J Addict, 24, 329-335 (2015) DOI: 10.1111/aiad.12187 PMid:25911999
- 21. Ramerstorfer J, Furtmüller R, Vogel E, Huck S, Sieghart W. The point mutation gamma 2F77I changes the potency and efficacy of benzodiazepine site ligands in different

GABAA receptor subtypes. Eur J Pharmacol. 636 (1-3):18-27 (2010). DOI: 10.1.016/j.ejphar.2010.0.3.0.15.

22. J Mogil, P Marek, P Flodman, M Spence, W Sternberg, B Kest, B Sadowski, J Liebeskind: One or two genetic loci mediate high opiate analgesia in selectively bred mice. Pain 60, 125-135 (1995)

DOI: 10.1016/0304-3959(94)00098-Y

23. J Coller, D Barratt, K Dahlen, M Loennechen, A Somogyi AA: ABCB1 genetic variability and methadone dosage requirements in opioiddependent individuals. Clin Pharmacol Ther 80, 682–690 (2006)

DOI: 10.1016/i.clpt.2006.09.011

PMid:17178268

- 24. C McClung, E Nestler, V Zachariou V: Regulation of gene expression by chronic morphine and morphine withdrawal in the locus coeruleus and ventral teamental area. J Neurosci 25, 6005-6015 (2005) DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0062-05.2005 PMid:15976090
- 25. J Lötsch, C Skarke, J Liefhold, G Geisslinger: Genetic predictors of the clinical response to opioid analgesics: clinical utility and future perspectives. Clin Pharmacokinet 43, 983-1013 (2004) DOI: 10.2165/00003088-200443140-00003

PMid:15530129

- 26. J Lötsch, M Zimmermann, J Darimont, C Marx, R Dudziak, C Skarke, G Geisslinger: polymorphism the A118G Does the mu-opioid receptor gene protect against morphine-6-glucuronide toxicity? Anesthesiology 97, 814–819 (2002)
- 27. R Landau, C Kern, M Columb, R Smiley, J Blouin: Genetic variability of the mu-opioid receptor influences intrathecal fentanyl analgesia requirements in laboring women. Pain 139, 5-14 (2008)

DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2008.02.023 PMid:18403122 PMCid:PMC2669083

28. Nelson EC, Lynskey MT, Heath AC, Wray N, Agrawal A, Shand FL, Henders AK, Wallace L, Todorov AA, Schrage AJ, Saccone NL, Madden PA, Degenhardt L, Martin NG, Montgomery GW. ANKK1, TTC12, and NCAM1 polymorphisms and heroin dependence: importance of considering drug exposure. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;70 (3):325-33. DOI: 10.1.001/jamapsychiatry.2013.2.82.

- 29. Wang H, Gao MX, Li L, Wang B, Hori N, Sato K. Isolation, expression, and characterization of the human ZCRB1 gene mapped to 12q12. Genomics. 2007 Jan;89 (1):59-69.
- 30. Garzón J, Rodríguez-Muñoz M, López-Fando A, García-España A, Sánchez-Blázquez P. RGSZ1 and GAIP regulate mu- but not delta-opioid receptors in mouse CNS: role in tachyphylaxis and acute tolerance. Neuropsychopharmacology. (6):1091-104 (2004).
- 31. T Clarke, A Weiss, T Ferarro, K Kampman, C Dackis, H Pettinati, C O'Brien, D Oslin, F Lohoff, W Berrettini: The dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2) SNP rs1076560 is associated with opioid addiction. Ann Hum Genet 78, 33-39 (2014)

DOI: 10.1111/ahg.12046

PMid:24359476 PMCid:PMC4013426

32. S David, D Strong, M Munafò, R Brown, E Lloyd-Richardson, P Wileyto, E Evins, P Shields, C Lerman, R Niaura: Bupropion efficacy for smoking cessation is influenced by the DRD2 Taq1A polymorphism: analysis of pooled data from two clinical trials. Nicotine Tob Res 9, 1251-1257 (2007)

DOI: 10.1080/14622200701705027 PMid:18058343 PMCid:PMC2128730

- 33. S David, D Strong, A Leventha, M Lancaster, J McGeary, M Munafò, A Bergen, G Swan, N Benowitz, R Tyndale, D Conti, R Brown, C Lerman, R Niaura: Influence of a dopamine pathway additive genetic efficacy score on smoking cessation: results from two randomized clinical trials of bupropion. Addiction 108, 2202-2211 (2013) DOI: 10.1111/add.12325
- 34. K Blum, M Oscar-Berman, Z Demetrovics, D Barh, M Gold: Genetic Addiction Risk Score (GARS): molecular neurogenetic evidence for predisposition to Reward Deficiency Syndrome (RDS). Mol Neurobiol 50, 765-796 (2014)

PMid:23941313 PMCid:PMC3834197

DOI: 10.1007/s12035-014-8726-5 PMid:24878765 PMCid:PMC4225054

35. L Reynolds, E Engin, G Tantillo, H Lau, J Muschamp, W Carlezon, U Rudolph: Differential roles of GABA (A) receptor benzodiazepine-induced subtypes in enhancement of brain-stimulation reward.

Neuropsychopharmacology 37, 2531-2540 (2012)

DOI: 10.1038/npp.2012.115 PMid:22763624 PMCid:PMC3442348

36. K Perkins, C Lerman, A Grottenthaler, M Ciccocioppo, M Milanak, C Conklin, A Bergen, N Benowitz: Dopamine and opioid gene variants are associated with increased smoking reward and reinforcement owing to negative mood. Behav Pharmacol 19, 641-649 (2008)

DOI: 10.1097/FBP.0b013e32830c367c PMid:18690118 PMCid:PMC2717609

37. D Evans, J Park, N Maxfield, D Drobes: Neurocognitive variation in smoking behavior and withdrawal: genetic and affective moderators. Genes Brain Behav 8, 86-96 (2009)

DOI: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2008.00445.x

PMid:19220487

- 38. M Ohmoto, T Takahashi, Y Kubota, S Kobayashi, Y Mitsumoto: Genetic influence of dopamine receptor, dopamine transporter, and nicotine metabolism on smoking cessation and nicotine dependence in a Japanese population. *BMC Genet* 15, 151 (2014)
- 39. D Gilbert, Y Zuo, N Rabinovich, H Riise, R.Needham, J Huggenvik: Neurotransmissionrelated genetic polymorphisms, negative affectivity traits, and gender predict tobacco abstinence symptoms across 44 days with and without nicotine patch. J Abnorm Psychol 118, 322–334 (2009) DOI: 10.1037/a0015382

PMid:19413407 PMCid:PMC2811257

- 40. M Spitz, H Shi, F Yang, K Hudmon, H Jiang, R Chamberlain, C Amos, Y Wan, P Cinciripini, W Hong, X Wu: Casecontrol study of the D2 dopamine receptor gene and smoking status in lung cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 90, 358-363 (1998) DOI: 10.1093/jnci/90.5.358 PMid:9498485
- 41. K Blum, P Sheridan, R Wood, E Braverman, T Chen, J Cull, D Comings: The D2 dopamine receptor gene as a determinant of reward deficiency syndrome. J R Soc Med 89, 396–400 (1996)
- 42. C Dixon, H Morris, G Breen, S Desrivieres, S Jugurnauth, R Steiner, H. Vallada, C Guindalini, R Laranjeira, G Messas, T Rosahl, J Atack, D Peden, D Belelli, J

- Lambert, S King, G Schumann, D Stephens: Cocaine effects on mouse incentive-learning and human addiction are linked to alpha2 subunit-containing GABAA receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 2289-2294 (2010) DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0910117107 PMid:20133874 PMCid:PMC2836671
- 43. K Blum, R Wood, E Braverman, T Chen, P. Sheridan: The D2 dopamine receptor gene as a predictor of compulsive disease: Bayes' theorem. Funct Neurol 10, 37-44 (1995)
- 44. A Gyollai, M Griffiths, C Barta, A. Vereczkei, R. Urbán, B. Kun, G. Kökönyei, A. Székely, M. Sasvári-Székely, K. Blum, Z Demetrovics: The genetics of problem and pathological gambling: a systematic review. Curr Pharm Des 20, 3993-3999 (2014) DOI: 10.2174/13816128113199990626 PMid:24001288

- 45. Y Hou, Y Cai, Z Pan: Persistent pain maintains morphine-seeking behavior after morphine withdrawal through reduced MeCP2 repression of GluA1 in rat central amygdala. J Neurosci 35, 3689-3700 (2015) DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3453-14.2015 PMid:25716866 PMCid:PMC4339367
- 46. M Emery, M Bates, P Wellman, S Eitan: Differential Effects of Oxycodone, Hydrocodone, and Morphine on Activation Levels of Signaling Molecules. Pain Med (2015)
- 47. Beal BR, Wallace MS. An Overview of Pharmacologic Management of Chronic Pain. Med Clin North Am. 100 (1):65-79 (2016) . DOI: 10.1.016/j.mcna.2015.0.8.0.06.
- 48. Wiley JL, Marusich JA, Martin BR, Huffman JW. 1-Pentyl-3-phenylacetylindoles and JWH-018 share in vivo cannabinoid profiles in mice. Drug Alcohol Depend. 123 (1-3):148-53 (2012). DOI: 10.1.016/j.drugalcdep.2011.1.1.0.01.
- 49. S Woodhams, D Sagar, J Burston, V Chapman: The role of the endocannabinoid system in pain. Handb Exp Pharmacol 227, 119-143 (2015) DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-46450-2 7 PMid:25846617
- 50. K Befort: Interactions of the opioid and cannabinoid systems in reward: Insights from knockout studies. Front Pharmacol 6, 6 (2015)

 M Li, K Suchland, S Ingram: GABAergic transmission and enhanced modulation by opioids and endocannabinoids in adult rat rostral ventromedial medulla. *J Physiol* 593, 217-230 (2015)

DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.2014.275701

PMCid:PMC4293064

52. B Lau, C Vaughan: Descending modulation of pain: the GABA disinhibition hypothesis of analgesia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 29, 159-164 (2014)

DOI: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.07.010 PMid:25064178

- 53. A Wilson-Poe, M Morgan, S Aicher, D Hegarty: Distribution of CB1 cannabinoid receptors and their relationship with muopioid receptors in the rat periaqueductal gray. *Neuroscience* 213, 191-200 (2012) DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.03.038 PMid:22521830 PMCid:PMC3367071
- 54. T Daigle, W. Wetsel, M Caron: Opposite function of dopamine D1 and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in striatal cannabinoid-mediated signaling. *Eur J Neurosci*, 34, 1378-1389 (2011)

 DOI: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07874.x
 PMid:22034973 PMCid:PMC3225005
- 55. J Desroches, P Beaulieu: Opioids and cannabinoids interactions: involvement in pain management. *Curr Drug Targets* 11, 462-473 (2010)
 DOI: 10.2174/138945010790980303
 PMid:20017728

56. V Haller, D Stevens, S Welch: Modulation of opioids via protection of anandamide degradation by fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Eur J Pharmacol* 600, 50-8 (2008) DOI: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2008.08.005

PMid:18762181

57. L Chang, L Luo, J Palmer, S Sutton, S Wilson, A Barbier, J Breitenbucher, S Chaplan, M Webb: Inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase produces analgesia by multiple mechanisms. *Br J Pharmacol* 148, 102-113 (2006)

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706699 PMid:16501580 PMCid:PMC1617043

- 58. E Jutkiewicz: RB101-mediated protection of endogenous opioids: potential therapeutic utility? *CNS Drug Rev*, 13,192-205 (2007)
- 59. I Reche, M Ruiz-Gayo, J Fuentes: Inhibition of opioid-degrading enzymes potentiates

delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced antinociception in mice. *Neuropharmacology* 37, 215-222 (1998)

DOI: 10.1016/S0028-3908(98)00005-7

60. J Zugaib, M Coutinho, M. Ferreira, L. Menescal-de-Oliveira: Glutamate/GABA balance in ACC modulates the nociceptive responses of vocalization: an expression of affective-motivational component of pain in guinea pigs. *Physiol Behav* 126, 8-14 (2014)

DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.12.004 PMid:24382484

- 61. M. Zhuo: Neural Mechanisms Underlying Anxiety-Chronic Pain Interactions. *Trends Neurosci* 39, 136-145 (2016) DOI: 10.1016/j.tins.2016.01.006 PMid:26878750
- 62. K Fusa, I Takahashi, S Watanabe, Y Aono, H Ikeda, T Saigusa, H Nagase, T Suzuki, N Koshikawa, A Cools: The non-peptidic delta opioid receptor agonist TAN-67 enhances dopamine efflux in the nucleus accumbens of freely moving rats via a mechanism that involves both glutamate and free radicals. *Neuroscience* 130, 745-755 (2005) DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.10.016 PMid:15590157
- 63. C Goudet, V Magnaghi, M Landry, F Nagy, R Gereau, J Pin: Metabotropic receptors for glutamate and GABA in pain. *Brain Res Rev* 60, 43-56 (2009)

 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.12.007

 PMid:19146876
- 64. P Wood: Role of central dopamine in pain and analgesia. *Expert Rev Neurother*, 8, 781-797 (2008)
 DOI: 10.1586/14737175.8.5.781
 PMid:18457535
- 65. M Morgan, K Franklin: 6-Hydroxydopamine lesions of the ventral tegmentum abolish D-amphetamine and morphine analgesia in the formalin test but not in the tail flick test. *Brain Res* 519, 144–149 (1990) DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(90)90072-J
- 66. B Taylor, C Joshi, H Uppal: Stimulation of dopamine D2 receptors in the nucleus accumbens inhibits inflammatory pain. *Brain Res* 987, 135–143 (2003) DOI: 10.1016/S0006-8993(03)03318-3
- 67. J Magnusson, K Fisher: The involvement of dopamine in nociception: the role of D

- (1) and D (2) receptors in the dorsolateral striatum. *Brain Res* 855, 260–266 (2008) DOI: 10.1016/S0006-8993(99)02396-3
- N Hagelberg, I Martikainen, H Mansikka, S Hinkka, K Någren, J Hietala, H Scheinin, A Pertovaara: Dopamine D2 receptor binding in the human brain is associated with the response to painful stimulation and pain modulatory capacity. *Pain* 99, 273–279 (2002)

DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3959(02)00121-5

 N Hagelberg, J Kajander, K Någren, S Hinkka, J Hietala, H Scheinin: Mureceptor agonism with alfentanil increases striatal dopamine D2 receptor binding in man. Synapse 45, 25–30 (2002) DOI: 10.1002/syn.10078

DOI: 10.1002/syn.10078

PMid:12112410

 N Hagelberg, H Forssell, J Rinne, H Scheinin, T Taiminen, S Aalto, S Luutonen, K Nagren, S Jaaskelainen: Striatal dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in burning mouth syndrome. Pain 101, 149–154 (2003)

DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3959(02)00323-8

71. T Chen, K Blum, R Waite, B Meshkin, J Schoolfield, B Downs, E Braverman, V Arcuri, M Varshavskiy, S Blum, J Mengucci, C Reuben, T Palomo: Gene Narcotic Attenuation Program attenuates substance use disorder, a clinical subtype of reward deficiency syndrome. *Adv Ther* 24, 402–414 (2007)

DOI: 10.1007/BF02849910

PMid:17565932

72. C Meloto, S Segall, S Smith, M Parisien, S Shabalina, C Rizzatti-Barbosa, J Gauthier, D Tsao, M Convertino, M Piltonen, G Slade, R Fillingim, J Greenspan, R Ohrbach, C Knott, W Maixner, D Zaykin, N Dokholyan, I Reenilä, P Männistö, L Diatchenko: COMT gene locus: new functional variants. *Pain* 156, 2072-2083 (2015)

DOI: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000000000273 PMid:26207649 PMCid:PMC4748386

73. K Blum, E Braverman, J Holder, J Lubar, V Monastra, D Miller, J Lubar, T Chen, D Comings: Reward deficiency syndrome: a biogenetic model for the diagnosis and treatment of impulsive, addictive, and compulsive behaviors. J Psychoactive Drugs 32, 1–112 (2000) DOI: 10.1080/02791072.2000.10736099

74. M Elovainio, M Jokela, M Kivimäki, L Pulkki-Råback, T Lehtimäki, N Airla, L Keltikangas-Järvinen: Genetic variants in the DRD2 gene moderate the relationship between stressful life events and depressive symptoms in adults: cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Psychosom Med* 69, 391–395 (2007) DOI: 10.1097/psy.0b013e31806bf365 PMid:17585060

- 75. B Lawford, R Young, E Noble, B Kann, T Ritchie: The D2 dopamine receptor (DRD2) gene is associated with co-morbid depression, anxiety and social dysfunction in untreated veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Eur Psychiat* 21, 180–185 (2006) DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2005.01.006 PMid:16632165
- M Dziedzicka-Wasylewska: The effect of imipramine on the amount of mRNA coding for rat dopamine D2 autoreceptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* 337, 291–296 (1997)
 DOI: 10.1016/S0014-2999(97)01286-7
- 77. M Kreek, G Koob: Drug dependence: stress and dysregulation of brain reward pathways. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 51, 23–47 (1998) DOI: 10.1016/S0376-8716(98)00064-7
- 78. D Coming, D Muhleman, R. Gysin: Dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) gene and susceptibility to posttraumatic stress disorder: a study and replication. *Biol Psychiat* 40, 368–372 (1996)

DOI: 10.1016/0006-3223(95)00519-6

79. A Deutch, W Clark, R Roth: Prefrontal cortical dopamine depletion enhances the responsiveness of mesolimbic dopamine neurons to stress. *Brain Res* 521, 311–315 (1990)

DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(90)91557-W

- P Kalivas, R Abhold: Enkephalin release into the ventral tegmental area in response to stress: modulation of mesocorticolimbic dopamine. *Brain Res* 414, 339–348 (1987) DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(87)90015-1
- N Altier, J Stewart: Opioid receptors in the ventral tegmental area contribute to stressinduced analgesia in the formalin test for tonic pain. *Brain Res* 718, 203-206 (1996) DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(96)00121-7
- 82. S Yoon, J Nah, Y Shin, S Kim, K Nam, H Choi, S Nah: Ginsenosides induce differential

antinociception and inhibit substance P induced-nociceptive response in mice. *Life Sci* 62, 319-325 (1998)

DOI: 10.1016/S0024-3205(98)00168-4

- 83. N Altier, J Stewart: The role of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens in analgesia. *Life Sci* 65, 2269–2287 (1999)
 DOI: 10.1016/S0024-3205(99)00298-2
- 84. K Blum, M Trachtenberg, C Elliott, M Dingler, R Sexton, A Samuels, L Cataldie: Enkephalinase inhibition and precursor amino acid loading improves inpatient treatment of alcohol and polydrug abusers: double-blind placebo-controlled study of the nutritional adjunct SAAVE. *Alcohol* 5, 481–493 (1988)

DOI: 10.1016/0741-8329(88)90087-0

 S Cunningham, A.Kelley: Opiate infusion into nucleus accumbens: contrasting effects on motor activity and responding for conditioned reward. *Brain Res* 588, 104-114 (1992)

DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(92)91349-J

- A Chen, R Treede, B Bromm: Tonic pain inhibits phasic pain: evoked cerebral potential correlates in man. *Psychiatry Res* 14, 343-351 (1985)
 DOI: 10.1016/0165-1781(85)90102-7
- 87. K Blum, M Oscar-Berman, W Jacobs, T McLaughlin, M Gold: Buprenorphine response as a function of neurogenetic polymorphic antecedents: can dopamine genes affect clinical outcomes in Reward Deficiency Syndrome (RDS)? *J Addict Res Ther* 5, 1-9 (2014)
- 88. K Blum, M Hauser, J Fratantonio, R Badgaiyan: Molecular genetic testing in pain and addiction: facts, fiction and clinical utility. Addict Genet 2, 1-5 (2015) DOI: 10.1515/addge-2015-0001
- 89. R Palmer, L Brick, N Nugent, L Bidwell, J McGeary, V Knopik, M Keller: Examining the role of common genetic variants on alcohol, tobacco, cannabis and illicit drug dependence: genetics of vulnerability to drug dependence. Addiction 110, 530–537 (2015)

DOI: 10.1111/add.12815

PMid:25424661 PMCid:PMC4329043

90. F Ducci, D Goldman: The genetic basis of addictive disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*

35, 495–519 (2012) DOI: 10.1016/j.psc.2012.03.010 PMid:22640768 PMCid:PMC3506170

- 91. K Blum, M Febo, T McLaughlin, F Cronjé, D Han, M Gold: Hatching the behavioral addiction egg: Reward Deficiency Solution System (RDSS)™ as a function of dopaminergic neurogenetics and brain functional connectivity linking all addictions under a common rubric. *J Behav Addict* 3, 149–156 (2014) DOI: 10.1556/JBA.3.2014.019 PMid:25317338 PMCid:PMC4189308
- 92. K Xu, D Lichtermann, R Lipsky, P Franke, X Liu, Y Hu, L Cao, S Schwab, D Wildenauer, C Bau, E Ferro, W Astor, T Finch, J Terry, J Taubman, W Maier, D Goldman: Association of specific haplotypes of D2 dopamine receptor gene with vulnerability to heroin dependence in 2 distinct populations. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 61, 597-606 (2004) DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.61.6.597 PMid:15184239
- 93. S Moeller, M Parvaz, E Shumay, N Beebe-Wang, A Konova, N Alia-Klein, N Volkow, R Goldstein: Gene x abstinence effects on drug cue reactivity in addiction: multimodal evidence. *J Neurosci* 33, 10027–10036 (2013) DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-13.2013 PMid:23761898 PMCid:PMC3682385
- 94. K Blum, G Kozlowski: Ethanol and neuromodulator influences. A cascade model of reward. In: Alcohol and Behaviour: Basic and Clinical Aspects Progress in Alcohol Research. Eds: Ollat H, Parvez S, Parvez H, VSP International Science Publishers, Utrecht, Netherlands (1990)
- 95. Y Zhang, J Gong, C Xie, E Ye, X Jin, H Song, Z Yang, Y Shao: Alterations in brain connectivity in three sub-regions of the anterior cingulate cortex in heroin-dependent individuals: Evidence from resting state fMRI. *Neuroscience* 284, 998–1010 (2015) DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.11.007 PMid:25446365
- 96. K Blum, Y Liu, W Wang, Y Wang, Y Zhang, M Oscar-Berman, A Smolen, M Febo, D Han, T Simpatico, F Cronjé, Z Demetrovics, M Gold: rsfMRI effects of KB220ZTM on neural pathways in reward circuitry of abstinent genotyped heroin addicts. *Postgrad Med* 127, 232–241 (2015)

DOI: 10.1080/00325481.2015.994879 PMid:25526228 PMCid:PMC4979602

- 97. D Levey, H Le-Niculescu, J Frank, M. Ayalew, N Jain, B Kirlin, R Learman, E Winiger, Z Rodd, A Shekhar, N Schork, F Kiefer, N Wodarz, B Müller-Myhsok, N Dahmen, GESGA Consortium, M Nöthen, R Sherva, L Farrer, A Smith, H Kranzler, M Rietschel, J Gelernter, A Niculescu: Genetic risk prediction and neurobiological understanding of alcoholism. *Transl Psychiatry* 4, e391 (2014)
- 98. S Farris, D Arasappan, S Hunicke-Smith, R Harris, R Mayfield: Transcriptome organization for chronic alcohol abuse in human brain. *Mol Psychiatry* 20, 1438-1447 (2014)

DOI: 10.1038/mp.2014.159 PMid:25450227 PMCid:PMC4452464

99. J Yan, F Aliev, B Webb, K Kendler, V Williamson, H Edenberg, A Agrawal, M Kos, L Almasy, J Nurnberger, M Schuckit, J Kramer, J Rice, S Kuperman, A Goate, J Tischfield, B Porjesz, D Dick: Using genetic information from candidate gene and genome-wide association studies in risk prediction for alcohol dependence. *Addict Biol* 19, 708–721 (2014) DOI: 10.1111/adb.12035

PMid:23362995 PMCid:PMC3664249

- 100. D Goldman: Candidate genes in alcoholism. *Clin Neurosci* 3, 174–181 (1995)
- 101.T Chen, K Blum, D Mathews, L Fisher, N Schnautz, E Braverman, J Schoolfield, B Downs, D Comings: Are dopaminergic genes involved in a predisposition to pathological aggression? Hypothesizing the importance of "super normal controls" in psychiatricgenetic research of complex behavioral disorders. *Med Hypotheses* 65, 703–707 (2005)

DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2005.04.037 PMid:15964153

- 102. K Neiswanger, B Kaplan, S Hill: What can the DRD2/alcoholism story teach us about association studies in psychiatric genetics? Am J Med Genet 60, 272–275 (1995)
- 103. P Mayer, V Höllt: Pharmacogenetics of opioid receptors and addiction. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 16, 1–7 (2006)
 DOI: 10.1097/01.fpc.0000182781.87932.0d
 PMid:16344716
- 104. S Butler, E McNaughton, R Black: Tapentadol abuse potential: a post-marketing evaluation

using a sample of individuals evaluated for substance abuse treatment. *Pain Med* 16 (1), 119-130 (2015)

DOI: 10.1111/pme.12524 PMid:25243972

105. A Chen, T Chen, R Waite, J Reinking, H Tung, P Rhoades, B Downs, E Braverman, D Braverman, M Kerner, S Blum, N DiNubile, D Smith, M Oscar-Berman, T Prihoda, J Floyd, D O'Brien, H Liu, K Blum: Hypothesizing that brain reward circuitry genes are genetic antecedents of pain sensitivity and critical diagnostic and pharmacogenomic treatment targets for chronic pain conditions. *Med Hypotheses* 72, 14-22 (2009)

DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2008.07.059 PMid:18951726 PMCid:PMC4098664

106. K Blum, T. Chen, B Meshkin, B Downs, C Gordon, S Blum, J Mangucci, E Braverman, V Arcuri, R Deutsch, M Pons: Genotrim, a DNA-customized nutrigenomic product, targets genetic factors of obesity: hypothesizing a dopamine-glucose correlation demonstrating reward deficiency syndrome (RDS). *Med Hypotheses* 68, 844–85 (2007)

DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2006.08.041

PMid:17071010

- 107. K Blum, P Thanos, R Badgaiyan, M Febo, M Oscar-Berman, J Fratantonio, Z Demetrovics, M Gold: Neurogenetics and gene therapy for reward deficiency syndrome: are we going to the Promised Land? Expert *Opin Biol Ther* 15, 973-985 (2015)
- 108. S Savage, A Romero-Sandoval, M Schatman, M Wallace, G Fanciullo, B McCarberg, M Ware: Cannabis in pain treatment: clinical & research considerations. J Pain pii: S1526-5900 (16)00543-5 (2016)
- 109. B Jensen, J Chen, T Furnish, M Wallace: Medical marijuana and chronic pain: a review of basic science and clinical evidence. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 19, 50, (2015)
- 110. Gerra G, Leonardi C, Cortese E, D'Amore A, Lucchini A, Strepparola G, Serio G, Farina G, Magnelli F, Zaimovic A, Mancini A. Human kappa opioid receptor gene (OPRK1) polymorphism is associated with opiate addiction. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 144(6):771-5. (2007)
- 111. Drakenberg K, Nikoshkov A, Horváth MC, Fagergren P, Gharibyan A, Saarelainen

- K, Rahman S, Nylander I, Bakalkin G, Rajs J, Keller E. μ Opioid receptor A118G polymorphism in association with striatal opioid neuropeptide gene expression in heroin abusers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 103(20):7883-8. (2006)
- 112. Xu K, Lichtermann D, Lipsky RH, Franke P, Liu X, Hu Y, et al. Association of specific haplotypes of D2 dopamine receptor gene with vulnerability to heroin dependence in 2 distinct populations. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 61 (6):597–606 (2004)
- 113. Lawford BR, Young RM, Noble EP, Sargent J, Rowell J, Shadforth S, Zhang X, Ritchie T. The D2 dopamine receptor A1 allele and opioid dependence: association with heroin use and response to methadone treatment. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A.* (5):592-8. (2000)
- 114. Li Y, Shao C, Zhang D, Zhao M, Lin L, Yan P, Xie Y, Jiang K, Jin L. The effect of dopamine D2, D5 receptor and transporter (SLC6A3) polymorphisms on the cue-elicited heroin craving in Chinese. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics. 141(3):269-73. (2006)
- 115. Huang W, Payne TJ, Ma JZ, Beuten J, Dupont RT, Inohara N, Li MD. Significant association of ANKK1 and detection of a functional polymorphism with nicotine dependence in an African-American sample. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34(2):319. (2009)
- 116. Horowitz R, Kotler M, Shufman E, Aharoni S, Kremer I, Cohen H, Ebstein RP. Confirmation of an excess of the high enzyme activity COMT val allele in heroin addicts in a family □based haplotype relative risk study. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*. 96(5):599-603. (2000)
- 117. Cao L, Li T, Xu K, Liu X. Association study of heroin dependence and -287 A/G polymorphism of catechol-Omethyltransferase gene). In: Zhonghua Yi, Xue Yi, Chuan Xue, Za Zhi, editors. 19 (6):499–501 (2002)
- 118. Comings DE, Blake H, Dietz G, Gade-Andavolu R, Legro RS, Saucier G, Johnson P, Verde R, MacMurray JP. The proenkephalin gene (PENK) and opioid dependence. *Neuroreport.* 10(5):1133-5. (1999)

- 119. Nikoshkov A, Drakenberg K, Wang X, Horvath MC, Keller E, Hurd YL. Opioid neuropeptide genotypes in relation to heroin abuse: dopamine tone contributes to reversed mesolimbic proenkephalin expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 105(2):786-91. (2008)
- 120. Galeeva AR, Gareeva AE, Yur'ev EB, Khusnutdinova EK. VNTR polymorphisms of the serotonin transporter and dopamine transporter genes in male opiate addicts. *Molecular Biology.* 36(4):462-6. (2002)
- 121. Bonnet-Brilhault F, Laurent C, Thibaut F, Campion D, Chavand O, Samolyk D, Martinez M, Petit M, Mallet J. Serotonin transporter gene polymorphism and schizophrenia: an association study. *Biological psychiatry*. 42(7):634-6. (1997)
- 122. Comings DE, Muhleman D, Gade R, Johnson P, Verde R, Saucier G, MacMurray J. Cannabinoid receptor gene (CNR1): association with iv drug use. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2(2):161-8. 1997)
- **Key Words:** Acute Pain, Cannabinergic, Glutaminergic And Dopaminergic Pathways, Functional Connectivity, Genetic Addiction Risk Score, GARS, Review
- **Send correspondence to:** Kenneth Blum, Department of Psychiatry & McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida College of Medicine, Box 100183, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA, Tel: 352-392-6680, Fax: 352-392-8217, E-mail: drd2gene@gmail.com