

Original Research

# Algorithms of Electrocardiographic Changes for Quantitative and Localization Analysis of Thrombus Burden in Patients with Acute Pulmonary Thromboembolism

Fan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lan Wang<sup>2</sup>, ChunXi Yan<sup>3</sup>, Xiaoxin Chang<sup>1</sup>, Huaping Wang<sup>4</sup>, Kaiyuan Zhu<sup>3</sup>, Yawei Xu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Dachun Xu<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, 200072 Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pulmonary Circulation, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, 201209 Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Qidong People's Hospital Affiliated to Nantong University, 226200 Nantong, Jiangsu, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Hematology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, 200072 Shanghai, China

\*Correspondence: xuyawei@tongji.edu.cn (Yawei Xu); xdc77@tongji.edu.cn (Dachun Xu)

Academic Editor: Attila Nemes

Submitted: 13 November 2022 Revised: 23 March 2023 Accepted: 28 March 2023 Published: 7 October 2023

#### Abstract

Background: Various electrocardiographic (ECG) abnormalities are associated with the severity of pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE). The utility of evaluating the clot burden of PTE based on ECG findings alone has yet to be thoroughly investigated in Chinese patients. The aim of this study was therefore to use ECG signs to establish novel models for quantitative and localization analysis of clot burden in patients with acute PTE. Methods: Acute PTE patients from three centers were enrolled between 2015 and 2019 in a retrospective cohort study (NCT03802929). We analyzed the 12-lead ECGs at admission and studied computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) features to obtain the Qanadli score of clot burden and location of thrombus. Novel risk prediction models were developed and validated using derivation and external validation cohorts, respectively. Results: A total of 341 acute PTE patients were screened, of whom 246 (72.1%) were from Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, 71 (20.8%) were from Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital and 24 (7.0%) were from Qidong People's Hospital. In the derivation cohort, predictors included in the final models were congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, coronary heart disease, atrial fibrillation and ECG abnormalities. The CHARIS (COPD/CHF/CHD, HTN, Atrial arrhythmias/AF, RBBB/RAD, Inverted T wave and S1Q3T3/Sinus tachycardia) I model was established for quantitatively assessing Qanadli score. It had moderate discrimination in both the derivation cohort (concordance index (c-index) of 0.720, 95% CI 0.655-0.780) and the validation cohort (c-index of 0.663, 95% CI 0.559-0.757). The CHARIS II model was used to predict the probability of trunk obstruction. It showed similar discrimination in the derivation cohort (c-index of 0.753, 95% CI 0.691–0.811) and in the validation cohort (c-index of 0.741, 95% CI 0.641-0.827). Calibration curves and Hosmer-Lemeshow test confirmed the accuracy of the risk prediction equations in the external validation dataset. Decision curve analysis showed the CHARIS I and CHARIS II algorithms had positive net benefits in both the derivation and validation cohorts. Conclusions: From quantitative and localization perspectives, the CHARIS algorithms can identify acute PTE patients with heavy thrombus burdens prior to imaging diagnosis. Clinical Trial Registration: NCT03802929, https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03802929.

Keywords: acute pulmonary thromboembolism; electrocardiographic changes; thrombus burden; risk model

#### 1. Introduction

Acute pulmonary thromboembolism (APTE) is the third most frequent cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality after acute coronary syndrome and stroke. With improvements in diagnostic modalities and physician awareness, the inpatient mortality of APTE in China decreased progressively from 25.1% in 1997 to 8.7% in 2008 [1]. The clinical presentations of APTE varies, ranging from asymptomatic and incidentally discovered emboli, to massive embolism causing sudden death prior to diagnosis. In Europe, 34% of pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE) patients suffer immediate death, 59% remain undiagnosed until death, and only 7% having a definitive diagnosis before death [2]. Hence, timely diagnosis of PTE and screening

out massive PTE can be challenging, and the overall mortality from massive pulmonary embolism (PE) remains as high as 52% [3].

Electrocardiographic (ECG) changes are increasingly reported as being useful for assessing the severity of PE, while also showing a strong correlation with hemodynamic collapse of right ventricular dysfunction [4–10]. So far, there is a notable absence of ECG in the clinical tools available for prognostication of PE in the guidelines. To address this, Daniel *et al.* [11] reported an ECG scoring system in 2001 that could predict the severity of pulmonary artery hypertension (PAH) in PTE and the degree of perfusion defect on ventilation-perfusion lung scanning. However, few Chinese studies have so far evaluated the utility of ECG abnormalities for assessing clot burden, hemodynamic status,



**Copyright:** © 2023 The Author(s). Published by IMR Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

right ventricular function, survival rates and management of patients presenting with acute PTE. The initial aim of this study was therefore to develop a revised scoring system that could predict the severity of acute PTE (localization and quantification) on the basis of ECG abnormalities. This should assist clinicians when screening patients who present with massive PTE prior to imaging diagnosis.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Study Design and Data Source

This study was registered with the American Clinical Trials Registry Center (https://clinicaltrials.gov; Registration number NCT03802929) and approved by our institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from all participants or their families.

We conducted a multicenter retrospective cohort study involving consecutive inpatients with confirmed APTE. The three hospitals were the Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, the Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, and the Qidong People's Hospital. Eligibility for this study required patients to be >18 years of age and have acute PTE confirmed by an intraluminal filling defect on multidetector computed tomography pulmonary angiography (MCTPA). Exclusion criteria were the absence of medical information, pregnancy, or unavailable MCTPA data.

#### 2.2 Derivation and External Validation Cohorts

The final selected research subjects were divided into derivation and validation groups according to the different thrombosis centers. The derivation cohort consisted of 246 acute PTE patients hospitalized at Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, China, between April 2015 and December 2019. The external validation cohort consisted of 95 acute PTE patients recruited from Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, China (n = 71) and Qidong People's Hospital, China (n = 24), also between December 2015 and December 2019.

#### 2.3 Electrocardiogram

We retrospectively analyzed 12-conductive ECG performance of all selected patients when acute PTE was confirmed. ECGs were recorded using a standard voltage of 1 mV/10 mm and a paper passing speed of 25 mm/s. ECG changes were independently analyzed by an ECG doctor and a cardiologist. Six common ECG manifestations associated with the prognosis of PTE were evaluated in this study: (1) typical S1Q3T3 signs, (2) sinus tachycardia, (3) complete/incomplete right bundle branch block (RBBB), (4) right axis deviation (RAD), (5) atrial arrhythmias, (6) V1–V3 T wave inversion (TWI) (Table 1).

#### 2.4 Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography

All selected subjects in this study underwent MCTPA. The examination was performed by a technician accompanied by a clinician, and the report was independently reviewed by at least two radiologists. MCTPA images were used to obtain thrombus positions for locative assessment of clot burden (Fig. 1A–C), while the Qanandli score was calculated for the quantitation of clot burden. The arterial tree of each lung is regarded as having 10 segmental pulmonary arteries (PAs) (three to the upper lobes, two to the middle lobe or lingula, and five to the lower lobes). Qanadli score  $= \sum (n*d)/40 \times 100\%$ , "n" indicates the number of blocked PA segments, "d" indicates the severity of PA blockage, "d = 0" means no defect, "d = 1" means partial occlusion, "d = 2" means complete blockage [12]. MCTPA was used to assess right ventricular dysfunction (RVD), defined as a ratio of right ventricle (RV) to left ventricle (LV) short-axis diameters >0.9 (Fig. 1D).



Fig. 1. MCTPA images demonstrating thrombus in different parts of the pulmonary artery (PA). (A) large saddle embolus at the bifurcation of the main pulmonary artery. (B) Blood clot in the lobar branch of PA. (C) Blood clot in the segmental branch of PA. (D) MCTPA illustration of RV/LV diameter ratio measurement on a four-chamber CT image. RVD, right ventricular dysfunction; LVD, left ventricular dysfunction; MCTPA, multidetector computed tomography pulmonary angiography; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; CT, computerized tomography.

#### 2.5 Selection of Risk Factors for Development of the Model

For each patient, information was extracted on sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics, pre-existing comorbidities, hematological parameters, ECG performance, and imaging examination results from medical records. ECG changes and comorbidities affecting ECG performance were selected as candidate predictors based on an extensive literature review. These comorbidities included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension (HTN), coronary heart disease (CHD), conges-

 ECG changes
 Definition

 • typical S1Q3T3 signs
 • prominent S-wave in lead I and Q/q-wave with T-wave inversion in lead III

 • sinus tachycardia
 • heart rate >100 bpm

 • complete/incomplete RBBB
 • rsR pattern V1–V3 with or without QRS duration >120 ms

 • right axis deviation
 • frontal axis falls at +90°~+180°

 • atrial arrhythmias
 • new onset of atrial premature complexes (APCs) or atrial flutter or atrial fibrillation

 • V1–V3 T wave inversion
 • Simultaneous T wave inversion in the right precordial leads (V1–V3)

 ECG, electrocardiographic; APTE, acute pulmonary thromboembolism; RBBB, right bundle branch block.

Table 1. Common ECG findings and their definitions in patients with APTE.



Fig. 2. Patient flow diagram. APTE, acute pulmonary thromboembolism; MCTPA, multidetector computed tomography pulmonary angiography.

tive heart failure (CHF), atrial fibrillation (AF).

#### 2.6 Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed with Stata 15.0 (Statacorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Numerical variables with skewed distribution were expressed as median and interquartile range. Categorical variables were expressed as frequency and percentages. Comparison of variables between groups was performed using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous parameters, and the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables as appropriate. In the derivation cohort, a binary logistic regression analysis was performed to establish risk prediction models for quantifying thrombus burden and for evaluating the odds of thrombus in the main PA. Both internal and external validation were performed. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to estimate the discrimina-

🐞 IMR Press

tory power of the rules with area under the curve (AUC) (or c-index). Calibration plot was applied to provide insight into the calibrating potential of the new models and the Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to examine the so-called 'goodness-of-it'. Decision curve analysis (DCA) was conducted to determine the clinical utility of the new models by evaluating net benefits. For all analysis, a 2-tailed p value < 0.05 was used to define statistical significance.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1 Study Participants

Overall, 393 consecutive patients with acute PTE were screened for eligibility. Of these, 22 (5.6%) patients were excluded because they did not have a technically adequate MCTPA according to the established criteria. Of the remaining 371 patients, 30 were excluded to pregnancy (n = 3, 0.8%) or missing medical information (n = 27, 6.9%), leaving 341 eligible patients enrolled in the study. The subjects were assigned to either the derivation group or validation group according to the hospital at which they were treated (Fig. 2).

#### 3.2 General Characteristics of Study Subjects

The general characteristics of the two groups are listed in Table 2. In the derivation group (n = 246), 128 patients (52%) were males and the average age was 72 ( $\pm$ 13) years. Of the 246 cases, 55 patients (22.4%) suffered from COPD,143 (58.1%) had HTN, 43 (17.5%) had CHD, 25 (10.2%) had CHF, and 24 (9.8%) had AF. In the validation group, 44 patients (46.3%) were males, and the average age was 61 ( $\pm$ 15) years. In this group, 18 patients (18.9%) had COPD, 44 (46.3%) had HTN, 17 (17.9%) had CHD, 13 (13.7%) had CHF and 7 (7.4%) had AF.

In the derivation group, analysis of the ECG recordings on admission showed the presence of typical S1Q3T3 signs in 16 patients (6.5%), sinus tachycardia in 42 patients (17.1%), incomplete RBBB in 16 patients (6.5%), complete RBBB in 14 patients (5.7%), RAD in 6 patients (2.4%), atrial arrhythmias in 42 patients (17.1%) and V1–V3 TWI in 30 patients (12.2%). In the validation group, the most frequently observed abnormality was sinus tachycardia (n = 21, 22.1%), followed by incomplete atrial arrhythmias (n = 14, 14.7%), typical S1Q3T3 signs (n = 14, 14.7%), V1– V3 TWI (n = 13, 13.7%), incomplete RBBB (n = 8, 8.4%), RAD (n = 5, 5.3%) and complete RBBB (n = 2, 2.1%).

Among the 246 patients in the derivation group, 57 (23.2%) had thrombus in the left and/or right main PA, 59 (24%) in the lobar branches of PA and 118 (48.0%) in the segmental or subsegmental branches of PA. The mean Qanadli score in the derivation group was 27.5 ( $\pm$ 25.3)%. In the validation group, MCTPA showed thrombus in the left and/or right main PA in 40 (42.1%) patients, in the lobar branches of PA in 25 (26.3%) patients and in the segmental or subsegmental branches of PA in 28 (29.5%) patients. The average Qanadli score in the validation group was 42.0 ( $\pm$ 33.5)%.

# *3.3 Correlation between ECG Performance and Thrombus Burden*

The ECG abnormalities of S1Q3T3 signs (44.1% vs 26.9%, p = 0.015), sinus tachycardia (40.7% vs 25.0%, p = 0.004) and RAD (47.1% vs 26.8%, p = 0.05) were significantly associated with the Qanadli score. Although the Qanadli score was higher in patients with V1–V3 TWI (33.1% vs 27.2%, p = 0.270) on ECG, the difference was not statistically significant. The Qanadli score was lower in patients with either atrial arrhythmia (24.4% vs 28.2%, p = 0.416) or with RBBB (19.3% vs 29.4%, p = 0.661) on ECG, but neither of these differences was statistically significant (Fig. 3).

The distribution of ECG changes and thrombus sites are shown in Table 3. Univariable analyses revealed that acute PTE patients with S1Q3T3 signs (p < 0.01), sinus tachycardia (p < 0.01) or RAD (p < 0.05) on ECG were more likely to have a blood clot in the main PA. However, RBBB (p = 0.202), atrial arrhythmias (p = 0.218) and V1–V3 TWI (p = 0.406) were not significantly correlated with thrombosis location.

#### 3.4 Prognostic Model Development

The derivation dataset was used to create a ROC curve between Qanadli score and RVD detected by MCTPA. The best cut-off value obtained was 25% (70.6%, 63.9%) (Fig. 4A). To identify patients with a massive burden of thrombus, we used Qanadli score >25% as the dependent variable and then included our candidate predictors in a binary logistic regression model.

These new prediction models were given the acronym CHARIS (COPD/CHF/CHD, HTN, Atrial arrhythmias/AF, RBBB/RAD, Inverted T wave and S1Q3T3/ Sinus tachycardia).

The final risk equation, referred to here as the CHARIS I model (p < 0.01,  $R^2 = 0.109$ ) was:

 $\begin{array}{l} Probability of Qanadli \ score > 25\% = 1 \ / \ 1 + e^{-risk \ score}.\\ Risk \ score = 0.6 \times S1Q3T3 \ signs + 1.0 \times sinus \ tachycardia \\ + \ 0.3 \times RAD - 1.2 \times RBBB - 0.5 \times atrial \ arrhythmias + \\ 0.5 \times TWI \ in \ V1-V3 - 0.9 \times COPD + 0.4 \times HTN - 0.6 \times \\ CHD - 0.1 \times CHF + 0.1 \times AF - 0.473 \ (constant) \end{array}$ 

(Each factor in the equation is assigned a value of "0" if it is absent, and a value of "1" if it is present).

Similarly, we took thrombus in the main PA as the dependent variable and entered the same predictors as above into a binary logistic regression model to establish CHARIS II algorithm (p < 0.01,  $R^2 = 0.143$ ):

Probability of thrombus in the main PA =  $1 / 1 + e^{-risk \text{ score}}$ . Risk score =  $0.6 \times S1Q3T3 \text{ signs} + 0.9 \times sinus tachycardia + <math>1.1 \times RAD - 0.9 \times RBBB - 1.4 \times atrial arrhythmias + <math>0.5 \times TWI$  in V1–V3 –  $1.0 \times COPD + 0.3 \times HTN - 0.6 \times CHD - 1.1 \times CHF - 0.2 \times AF - 1.121$  (constant)

(Each factor in the equation is assigned a value of "0" if it is absent, and a value of "1" if it is present).

#### 3.5 Validation of the Prediction Models

To assess the performance of the CHARIS prognostication models, we applied the algorithms to both the derivation data set and the external validation data set.

#### 3.5.1 Discrimination

According to the ROC curves in Fig. 4, the CHARIS I model had good discriminative performance with an internally validated c-index of 0.721 (95% CI 0.655-0.780) and an externally validated c-index of 0.663 (95% CI 0.559-0.757). The AUC for CHARIS II model to distinguish thrombus in the main PA from thrombus in branch PA was 0.752 (95% CI 0.691-0.811) in the derivation set, while the c-index in the external validation set was 0.741 (95% CI 0.641-0.827).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Variables	Derivation cohort	Validation cohort	
Gender (male) (%, n)52.0 [128]46.3 [44]Age (years)72 $\pm$ 1361 $\pm$ 15BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )24.1 $\pm$ 4.125.1 $\pm$ 3.3SBP (mmHg)137 $\pm$ 23134 $\pm$ 26DBP (mmHg)81 $\pm$ 3578 $\pm$ 13Heart rate (bpm)90 $\pm$ 4291 $\pm$ 20SPO2 (%)95 $\pm$ 795 $\pm$ 3Comobilities55 $\pm$ 7COPD (yes) (%, n)22.4 [55]18.9 [18]Hypertension (yes) (%, n)23.1 [143]46.3 [44]Diabetes mellius (yes) (%, n)17.5 [43]17.9 [17]CHF (yes) (%, n)10.2 [25]13.7 [13]Atrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)10.2 [25]13.7 [13]Atrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)18.7 [46]8.4 [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n)18.7 [46]8.4 [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n)16.7 [41]13.7 [13]Laboratory parameters25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]WBCs (×10 <sup>97</sup> L)122 $\pm$ 20130 $\pm$ 20Platelets (×10 <sup>97</sup> L)12.2 $\pm$ 3213.6 (3.2, 23.3]CFI (mg/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 (3.2, 23.3]CFI (mg/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 (3.2, 23.3]CFI (mg/L)0.017 (10009, 0.042]0.028 [0015, 0.054]Ord (mg/L)32.1 $\pm$ 113340 $\pm$ 13CRP (mg/L)1.58 [1.48, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.00]NT (mD/L)0.017 (10009, 0.042]0.028 [0015, 0.054]CTI (mg/L)0.017 (10009, 0.042]0.028 [0015, 0.054]CTI (mg/L)1.58 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 (3.2, 23.3]CTI	variables	n = 246	n = 95	
Age (years) $72 \pm 13$ $61 \pm 15$ BM (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) $24.1 \pm 4.1$ $25.1 \pm 3.3$ BBP (mmHg) $137 \pm 23$ $134 \pm 26$ DBP (mmHg) $81 \pm 35$ $78 \pm 13$ Heart rat (bpm) $90 \pm 42$ $91 \pm 20$ SPO <sub>2</sub> (%) $95 \pm 7$ $95 \pm 3$ Comorbidities $COPD$ (yes) (%, n) $22.4$ [55]Representation (yes) (%, n) $20.3$ [50] $11.6$ [11]CHD (yes) (%, n) $17.5$ [43] $17.9$ [17]CHD (yes) (%, n) $10.2$ [25] $13.7$ [13]Arrail forhilation (yes) (%, n) $10.2$ [25] $13.7$ [13]Arrail forhilation (yes) (%, n) $18.7$ [46] $8.4$ [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n) $18.7$ [46] $8.4$ [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n) $16.7$ [41] $13.7$ [13]Laboratory parameters $WBCs$ (<10°/L)	Gender (male) (%, n)	52.0 [128]	46.3 [44]	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age (years)	$72 \pm 13$	61 + 15	
SBP (mmHg)         137 ± 23         134 ± 26           DBP (mmHg)         81 ± 35         78 ± 13           Heart rate (bpm)         90 ± 42         91 ± 20           SPO <sub>2</sub> (%)         95 ± 7         95 ± 3           Comorbidities         COPD (yes) (%, n)         22.4 [55]         18.9 [18]           Hypertension (yes) (%, n)         20.3 [50]         11.6 [11]           CHD (yes) (%, n)         10.2 [25]         13.7 [13]           Arrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)         18.7 [46]         8.4 [8]           Cancer (yes) (%, n)         18.7 [46]         8.4 [8]           Cancer (yes) (%, n)         18.7 [46]         8.4 [8]           Laboratory parameters         WBCs (<10°/L)	$BMI (kg/m^2)$	$24.1 \pm 4.1$	$25.1 \pm 3.3$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	SBP (mmHg)	$137 \pm 23$	$134 \pm 26$	
Heart rate (bpm) $90 \pm 42$ $91 \pm 20$ SPQ2 (%) $95 \pm 7$ $95 \pm 3$ Comorbidities $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	DBP (mmHg)	$81 \pm 35$	$78 \pm 13$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Heart rate (bpm)	$90 \pm 42$	$91 \pm 20$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	SPO <sub>2</sub> (%)	$95\pm7$	$95\pm3$	
COPD (yes) (%, n)22.4 (55)18.9 [18]Hypertension (yes) (%, n)58.1 [143]46.3 [44]Diabetes mellitus (yes) (%, n)20.3 [50]11.6 [11]CHD (yes) (%, n)17.5 [43]17.9 [17]CHF (yes) (%, n)10.2 [25]13.7 [13]Artrail fibilitation (yes) (%, n)9.8 [24]7.4 [7]Stroke (yes) (%, n)18.7 [46]8.4 [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n)16.7 [41]13.7 [13]Laboratory parametersWBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)8.1 $\pm$ 3.7WBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)8.1 $\pm$ 3.78.5 $\pm$ 3.5Hemoglobin (g/L)122 $\pm$ 20130 $\pm$ 20Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)214 $\pm$ 79216 $\pm$ 76ALT (wL) median (25th-75th percentiles)25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]AST (wL)26.0 [18.9, 39.6]25.0 [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L)80.2 $\pm$ 34.766.2 $\pm$ 23.8BUN (mmol/L)6.9 $\pm$ 4.26.3 $\pm$ 3.3UA (µmol/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 [3.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTn1 (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.026 [0.003, 0.012]CK-MB (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 1419]321 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram17.1 [42]2.1 [21]Right xis deviation (%, n)7.7 [14]2.1 [21]Right wain PA(%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [21]Right main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [22] <td>Comorbidities</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Comorbidities			
Hypertension (ves) (%, n)58.1 [143]46.3 [44]Diabetes mellitus (ves) (%, n)20.3 [50]11.6 [11]CHD (ves) (%, n)17.5 [43]17.9 [17]CHF (yes) (%, n)10.2 [25]13.7 [13]Atrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)9.8 [24]7.4 [7]Stroke (yes) (%, n)18.7 [46]8.4 [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n)16.7 [41]13.7 [13]Laboratory parametersWDGS (×10°/L)8.1 $\pm$ 3.78.5 $\pm$ 3.5Hemoglobin (g/L)122 $\pm$ 20130 $\pm$ 20Platelets (×10°/L)214 $\pm$ 79216 $\pm$ 76ALT (u/L) median (25th-75th percentiles)25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]AST (u/L)26.0 [18.9, 39.6]25.0 [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L)80.2 $\pm$ 34.766.2 $\pm$ 23.8BUN (mmol/L)69 $\pm$ 4.26.3 $\pm$ 3.3UA (µmol/L)321 $\pm$ 113340 $\pm$ 131CRP (mg/L)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTn (ng/mL)0.007 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.08, 6.2]Electorcardiogram5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electorcardiogram5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electorcardiogram5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)1.2 [30]10.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]4.47 [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n)1.2 [30]10.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.3 [5]4.2 [4.1 [4.1]Hard arrhythmias (%, n)1.2 [30]10	COPD (yes) (%, n)	22.4 [55]	18.9 [18]	
	Hypertension (yes) (%, n)	58.1 [143]	46.3 [44]	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm CHD} ({\rm yes}) (\%, {\rm n}) & 17.5 [43] & 17.9 [17] \\ {\rm CHF} ({\rm yes}) (\%, {\rm n}) & 10.2 [25] & 13.7 [13] \\ {\rm Atrial fibrilation} ({\rm yes}) (\%, {\rm n}) & 9.8 [24] & 7.4 [7] \\ {\rm Stroke} ({\rm yes}) (\%, {\rm n}) & 18.7 [46] & 8.4 [8] \\ {\rm Cancer({\rm yes}) (\%, {\rm n}) & 16.7 [41] & 13.7 [13] \\ {\rm Laboratory parameters} \\ \\ {\rm WBCs} (\times 10^9/{\rm L}) & 8.1 \pm 3.7 & 8.5 \pm 3.5 \\ {\rm Hemoglobin} (gL) & 122 \pm 20 & 130 \pm 20 \\ {\rm Platelets} (\times 10^9/{\rm L}) & 214 \pm 79 & 216 \pm 76 \\ {\rm ALT} ({\rm u'L}) median (25th-75th percentiles) & 25.4 [14.1, 40.0] & 27.0 [15.0, 46.0] \\ {\rm AST} ({\rm u'L}) & 26.0 [18.9, 39.6] & 25.0 [18.0, 38.0] \\ {\rm serum creatine} ({\rm umol'L}) & 60.2 \pm 34.7 & 66.2 \pm 23.8 \\ {\rm BUN} ({\rm mmol'L}) & 6.9 \pm 4.2 & 6.3 \pm 3.3 \\ {\rm UA} ({\rm umol'L}) & 321 \pm 113 & 340 \pm 131 \\ {\rm CRP} ({\rm ng'L}) & 1.5.8 [4.9, 54.3] & 13.6 [3.2, 23.3] \\ {\rm cTnT} ({\rm ng'mL}) & 0.017 (0.009, 0.042] & 0.028 [0.015, 0.054] \\ {\rm cTnI} ({\rm ng'mL}) & 1.88 [1.18, 3.67] & 3.26 [2.00, 5.00] \\ {\rm otimer} ({\rm ng'L}) & 1.88 [1.18, 3.67] & 3.26 [2.00, 5.00] \\ {\rm otimer} ({\rm ng'L}) & 5.07 [2.25, 8.27] & 1.6 [0.68, 6.2] \\ {\rm Electocardiogram} & \\ {\rm S1Q3T3 signs} (\%, {\rm n}) & 6.5 [16] & 14.7 [14] \\ {\rm Sinus tachycardia} (\%, {\rm n}) & 17.1 [42] & 22.1 [21] \\ {\rm RBBB} (\%, {\rm n}) & 5.7 [14] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBB} (\%, {\rm n}) & 5.7 [14] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBB} (\%, {\rm n}) & 5.7 [14] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBB} (\%, {\rm n}) & 5.7 [14] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBB} (\%, {\rm n}) & 1.2 [30] & 13.7 [13] \\ {\rm Trombus position} & \\ {\rm Trunk} (\%, {\rm n}) & 11.4 [28] & 2.5.3 [24] \\ {\rm Left main PA} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 5.7 [14] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJBH} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.3 [8] & 2.1 [2] \\ {\rm RJH} {\rm main PA} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.7 [9] & 17.7 [14] \\ {\rm Lobar PA} (\%, {\rm n}) & 3.7 [9] & 17.9 [17] \\ {\rm RV} {\rm Not axis diameter}^{*} ({\rm mm}) & 36.0 \pm 7.0 \\ {\rm SV} {\rm Av t axis diameter}^{*} ({\rm mm}) & 36.0 \pm 7.0 \\ {$	Diabetes mellitus (yes) (%, n)	20.3 [50]	11.6 [11]	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	CHD (yes) (%, n)	17.5 [43]	17.9 [17]	
Atrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)9.8 [24]7.4 [7]Stroke (yes) (%, n)18.7 [46]8.4 [8]Cancer(yes) (%, n)16.7 [41]13.7 [13]Laboratory parametersWBCs ( $\times 10^{9}/L$ )8.1 $\pm$ 3.78.5 $\pm$ 3.5Hemoglobin (g/L)122 $\pm$ 20130 $\pm$ 20Platelets ( $\times 10^{9}/L$ )214 $\pm$ 79216 $\pm$ 76ALT ( $\omega$ L) median (25th–75th percentiles)25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]AST ( $\omega$ L)80.2 $\pm$ 34.766.2 $\pm$ 23.8BUN (mmol/L)6.9 $\pm$ 4.26.3 $\pm$ 3.3UA (µmol/L)321 $\pm$ 113340 $\pm$ 131CRP (mg/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 [3.2, 23.3]cTn (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTn (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTn (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 1419]3.21 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram5103110.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]4.7 [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n)17.1 [42]2.1 [21]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.3 [257]4.2 [140]Bilateral trunk (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [21]Right axis deviation (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)	CHF (yes) (%, n)	10.2 [25]	13.7 [13]	
Stroke (yes) (%, n)         18.7 [46]         8.4 [8]           Cancer(yes) (%, n)         16.7 [41]         13.7 [13]           Laboratory parameters         WBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)         8.1 ± 3.7         8.5 ± 3.5           Hemoglobin (g/L)         122 ± 20         130 ± 20           Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)         214 ± 79         216 ± 76           ALT (u/L) median (25th–75th percentiles)         25.4 [14.1, 40.0]         27.0 [15.0, 46.0]           AST (u/L)         80.2 ± 34.7         66.2 ± 23.8           BUN (mmol/L)         6.9 ± 4.2         6.3 ± 3.3           UA (µmol/L)         321 ± 113         340 ± 131           CRP (mg/L)         15.8 [4.9, 54.3]         13.6 [3.2, 23.3]           cTn1 (ng/mL)         0.017 [0.009, 0.042]         0.028 [0.015, 0.054]           cTn1 (ng/mL)         0.017 [2.25, 8.27]         1.6 [0.68, 6.2]           Electrocardiogram         320 [2.00, 5.30]         NT-proBNP (pg/mL)         426 [107, 1419]         321 [40, 882]           D-dimer (mg/L)         5.07 [2.25, 8.27]         1.6 [0.68, 6.2]         Electrocardiogram           S103T3 signs (%, n)         6.5 [16]         4.4 [8]         Complete RBBB (%, n)         7.7 [14]         2.1 [2]           Right axis deviation (%, n)         7.7 [42]         1.4.7 [14]	Atrial fibrillation (yes) (%, n)	9.8 [24]	7.4 [7]	
Cancer(yes) (%, n)16.7 [41]13.7 [13]Laboratory parametersWBCs (×10°/L)8.1 $\pm$ 3.78.5 $\pm$ 3.5Hemoglobin (g/L)122 $\pm$ 20130 $\pm$ 20Platelets (×10°/L)214 $\pm$ 79216 $\pm$ 76ALT (u/L) median (25th–75th percentiles)25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]AST (u/L)26.0 [18.9, 39.6]25.0 [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L)6.9 $\pm$ 4.26.3 $\pm$ 3.3UA (µmol/L)6.9 $\pm$ 4.26.3 $\pm$ 3.3UA (µmol/L)32.1 $\pm$ 113340 $\pm$ 131CRP (mg/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 [5.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 14.19]3.21 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogramsigns tachycardia (%, n)17.1 [42]22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]VI-V3 TWI (%, n)2.3.2 [57]4.2.1 [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)5.7.5 34.2.0 $\pm$ 3.3.5[0-25%] (%, n)5.9.8 [147] </td <td>Stroke (yes) (%, n)</td> <td>18.7 [46]</td> <td colspan="2">8.4 [8]</td>	Stroke (yes) (%, n)	18.7 [46]	8.4 [8]	
Laboratory parameters           WBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)         8.1 ± 3.7         8.5 ± 3.5           Hemoglobin (g/L)         122 ± 20         130 ± 20           Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)         214 ± 79         216 ± 76           ALT (u/L) median (25th-75th percentiles)         25.4 [14.1, 40.0]         27.0 [15.0, 46.0]           AST (u/L)         26.0 [18.9, 39.6]         25.0 [18.0, 38.0]           Serum creatine (µmol/L)         80.2 ± 34.7         66.2 ± 23.8           BUN (nmol/L)         6.9 ± 4.2         6.3 ± 3.3           UA (µmol/L)         321 ± 113         340 ± 131           CRP (mg/L)         15.8 [4.9, 54.3]         13.6 [3.2, 23.3]           cTn1 (ng/mL)         0.017 [0.009, 0.042]         0.028 [0.015, 0.054]           cTn1 (ng/mL)         0.017 [0.009, 0.042]         0.028 [0.015, 0.054]           cTn4 (ng/mL)         1.88 [1.18, 3.67]         3.26 [2.00, 5.30]           NT-proBNP (pg/mL)         426 [107, 1419]         321 [40, 882]           D-dimer (mg/L)         5.07 [2.25, 8.27]         1.6 [0.68, 6.2]           Electrocardiogram         S1Q3T3 signs (%, n)         6.5 [16]         4.7 [14]           SilQ3T3 signs (%, n)         5.7 [14]         2.1 [2]         Right axis deviation (%, n)         2.4 [6]         5.3 [5]	Cancer(yes) (%, n)	16.7 [41]	13.7 [13]	
WBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) $8.1 \pm 3.7$ $8.5 \pm 3.5$ Hemoglobin (g/L)         122 ± 20         130 ± 20           Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)         214 ± 79         216 ± 76           ALT (w/L) median (25th–75th percentiles)         25.4 [14.1, 40.0]         27.0 [15.0, 46.0]           AST (w/L)         26.0 [18.9, 39.6]         25.0 [18.0, 38.0]           Serum creatine (µmol/L) $80.2 \pm 34.7$ $66.2 \pm 23.8$ BUN (µmol/L) $69 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L)         15.8 [4.9, 54.3]         13.6 [3.2, 23.3]           cTn1 (ng/mL)         0.017 [0.009, 0.042]         0.006 [0.003, 0.054]           CTn1 (mg/mL)         0.017 [0.009, 0.042]         0.006 [0.003, 0.012]           CK-MB (ng/mL)         1.88 [1.18, 3.67]         3.26 [2.00, 5.30]           NT-proBNP (pg/mL)         426 [107, 1419]         321 [40, 882]           D-dimer (mg/L)         5.07 [2.25, 8.27]         16 [0.68, 6.2]           Electrocardiogram         51[6]         14.7 [14]           Situs tachycardia (%, n)         17.1 [42]         22.1 [21]           RBBB (%, n)         5.7 [14]         2.1 [2]           Right axis deviation (%, n)         17.1 [42]	Laboratory parameters			
Hemoglobin (g/L) $122 \pm 20$ $130 \pm 20$ Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) $214 \pm 79$ $216 \pm 76$ ALT (u/L) median (25th-75th percentiles) $25.4$ [14.1, 40.0] $27.0$ [15.0, 46.0]AST (u/L) $26.0$ [18.9, 39.6] $25.0$ [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L) $80.2 \pm 34.7$ $66.2 \pm 23.8$ BUN (nmol/L) $6.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L) $15.8$ [4.9, 54.3] $13.6$ [3.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.003, 0.012]CK-MB (ng/mL) $1.88$ [1.18, 3.67] $3.26$ [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $426$ [107, 1419] $321$ [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07$ [2.25, 8.27] $1.6$ [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram $S12$ [230] $10.5$ [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $5.7$ [14] $2.1$ [21]RBBB (%, n) $2.4$ [6] $5.3$ [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1$ [42] $4.7$ [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $23.2$ [57] $42.1$ [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n) $33.8$ [8] $2.1$ [2]Right main PA (%, n) $24.6$ [3.3 5] $25.3$ [24]Left main PA (%, n) $32.8$ [23] $15.8$ [15][75%-10%] (%, n) $24.6$ [0] $18.9$ [18][50%-75%] (%, n) $24.6$ [0] $18.9$ [18][50%-75%] (%, n) $23.2$ [57] $42.1$ [40]Bilateral trunk	WBCs (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	$8.1 \pm 3.7$	$8.5 \pm 3.5$	
Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) $214 \pm 79$ $216 \pm 76$ ALT (u/L) median (25th-75th percentiles) $25.4$ [14.1, 40.0] $27.0$ [15.0, 46.0]AST (u/L) $26.0$ [18.9, 39.6] $25.0$ [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L) $80.2 \pm 34.7$ $66.2 \pm 23.8$ BUN (nmol/L) $6.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L) $15.8$ [4.9, 54.3] $13.6$ [3.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL) $0.006$ [0.003, 0.012] $CK$ -MB (ng/mL)CK-MB (ng/mL) $1.88$ [1.18, 3.67] $3.26$ [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $2.507$ [2.2, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram $S1Q3T3$ signs (%, n) $6.5$ [16] $14.7$ [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $12.2$ [30] $10.5$ [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $5.7$ [14] $2.1$ [2]Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4$ [6] $5.3$ [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1$ [42] $14.7$ [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $3.3$ [8] $2.1$ [2]Right main PA (%, n) $3.3$ [8] $2.$	Hemoglobin (g/L)	$122 \pm 20$	$130 \pm 20$	
ALT ( $u/L$ ) median (25th-75th percentiles)25.4 [14.1, 40.0]27.0 [15.0, 46.0]AST ( $u/L$ )26.0 [18.9, 39.6]25.0 [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine ( $\mu$ mol/L) $6.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UM ( $\mu$ mol/L) $5.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA ( $\mu$ mol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP ( $mg/L$ ) $15.8$ [4.9, 54.3] $13.6$ [3.2, 23.3]cTnT ( $ng/mL$ ) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.008$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI ( $ng/mL$ ) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.006$ [0.003, 0.012]CK-MB ( $ng/mL$ ) $1.88$ [1.18, 3.67] $3.26$ [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP ( $pg/mL$ ) $426$ [107, 1419] $321$ [40, 882]D-dimer ( $mg/L$ ) $5.07$ [2.25, 8.27] $1.6$ [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram $S1Q373$ signs (%, n) $6.5$ [16] $14.7$ [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1$ [42] $22.1$ [21]RBBB (%, n) $5.7$ [14] $2.1$ [2]Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4$ [6] $5.3$ [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1$ [42] $14.7$ [14]VI-V3 TWI (%, n) $23.2$ [57] $42.1$ [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n) $3.3$ [8] $2.1$ [2]Right main PA (%, n) $8.5$ [21] $14.7$ [14]Lobar PA (%, n) $8.5$ [21] $14.7$ [14]Lobar PA (%, n) $3.2$ [30] $15.8$ [15][ $75\%$ -100%] (%, n) $3.7$ [9] $17.9$ [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ RV l/V diameter ratio $9.8$ [147] $47.4$ [45][ $25\%$ -50%] (%, n) $3.2$ [23]	Platelets ( $\times 10^9/L$ )	$214 \pm 79$	$216\pm76$	
AST $(u/L)$ 26.0 [18.9, 39.6]25.0 [18.0, 38.0]Serum creatine (µmol/L)80.2 ± 34.766.2 ± 23.8BUN (nmol/L)6.9 ± 4.26.3 ± 3.3UA (µmol/L)321 ± 113340 ± 131CRP (mg/L)15.8 [4.9, 54.3]13.6 [3.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL)0.017 [0.009, 0.042]0.028 [0.015, 0.054]cK-MB (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 1419]321 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram5103 signs (%, n)6.5 [16]14.7 [14]SilQ3T3 signs (%, n)6.5 [16]14.7 [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n)7.1 [42]22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus positionTrunk (%, n)23.2 [57]Trunk (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)3.24 [10]18.9 [18][29%-50%] (%, n)9.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-10%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0RV l/v diameter artio0.8 4.4 0.190.91 ± 0.22	ALT (u/L) median (25th–75th percentiles)	25.4 [14.1, 40.0]	27.0 [15.0, 46.0]	
Serum creatine (µmol/L) $80.2 \pm 34.7$ $66.2 \pm 23.8$ BUN (mmol/L) $6.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L) $15.8 [4.9, 54.3]$ $13.6 [3.2, 23.3]$ cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017 [0.009, 0.042]$ $0.028 [0.015, 0.054]$ cTnI (ng/mL) $1.88 [1.18, 3.67]$ $3.26 [2.00, 5.30]$ NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $426 [107, 1419]$ $321 [40, 882]$ D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07 [2.25, 8.27]$ $1.6 [0.68, 6.2]$ Electrocardiogram $81Q3T3$ signs (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $71.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $44.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ [25%-50%] (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV	AST (u/L)	26.0 [18.9, 39.6]	25.0 [18.0, 38.0]	
BUN (mmol/L) $6.9 \pm 4.2$ $6.3 \pm 3.3$ UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L) $15.8$ [4.9, 54.3] $13.6$ [3.2, 23.3]cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cTnI (ng/mL) $0.017$ [0.009, 0.042] $0.028$ [0.015, 0.054]cK-MB (ng/mL) $1.88$ [1.18, 3.67] $3.26$ [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $426$ [107, 1419] $321$ [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07$ [2.25, 8.27] $1.6$ [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram $SIQ373$ signs (%, n) $6.5$ [16] $14.7$ [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1$ [42] $22.1$ [21]RBBB (%, n) $5.7$ [14] $2.1$ [2]Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4$ [6] $5.3$ [5]Arrial arrhythmias (%, n) $11.4$ [23] $14.7$ [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2$ [30] $13.7$ [13]Thrombus position $11.4$ [28] $25.3$ [24]Left main PA (%, n) $3.3$ [8] $2.1$ [2]Right main PA (%, n) $24.0$ [59] $26.3$ [25]Segmental PA (%, n) $59.8$ [147] $47.4$ [45][25%-50%] (%, n) $59.8$ [147] $47.4$ [45][25%-50%] (%, n) $3.7$ [9] $17.9$ [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$	Serum creatine (µmol/L)	$80.2 \pm 34.7$	$66.2 \pm 23.8$	
UA (µmol/L) $321 \pm 113$ $340 \pm 131$ CRP (mg/L) $15.8 [4.9, 54.3]$ $13.6 [3.2, 23.3]$ cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017 [0.009, 0.042]$ $0.028 [0.015, 0.054]$ cTnI (ng/mL) $0.006 [0.003, 0.012]$ CK-MB (ng/mL) $1.88 [1.18, 3.67]$ $3.26 [2.00, 5.30]$ NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $426 [107, 1419]$ $321 [40, 882]$ D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07 [2.25, 8.27]$ $1.6 [0.68, 6.2]$ Electrocardiogram $810373$ signs (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $1.4.7 [14]$ SIQ3T3 signs (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $14.7 [14]$ Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $10.5 [10]$ Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $12.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $7$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $7$ $14.7 [14]$ Dilateral trunk (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $9.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $9.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ <td< td=""><td>BUN (mmol/L)</td><td><math>6.9 \pm 4.2</math></td><td colspan="2"><math>6.3 \pm 3.3</math></td></td<>	BUN (mmol/L)	$6.9 \pm 4.2$	$6.3 \pm 3.3$	
$\begin{array}{cccc} {\rm CRP}({\rm ng}/{\rm L}) & 15.8[4.9,54.3] & 13.6[3.2,23.3] \\ {\rm cTnT}({\rm ng}/{\rm nL}) & 0.017[0.009,0.042] & 0.028[0.015,0.054] \\ {\rm o}0.006[0.003,0.012] \\ {\rm CK-MB}({\rm ng}/{\rm nL}) & 1.88[1.18,3.67] & 3.26[2.00,5.30] \\ {\rm NT-proBNP}({\rm pg}/{\rm nL}) & 426[107,1419] & 321[40,882] \\ {\rm D-dimer}({\rm ng}/{\rm L}) & 5.07[2.25,8.27] & 1.6[0.68,6.2] \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	UA (µmol/L)	$321 \pm 113$	$340 \pm 131$	
cTnT (ng/mL) $0.017 [0.009, 0.042]$ $0.028 [0.015, 0.054]$ $0.006 [0.003, 0.012]$ cK-MB (ng/mL) $1.88 [1.18, 3.67]$ $3.26 [2.00, 5.30]$ NT-proBNP (pg/mL) $426 [107, 1419]$ $321 [40, 882]$ D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07 [2.25, 8.27]$ $1.6 [0.68, 6.2]$ Electrocardiogram $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $14.7 [14]$ Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $7.1 [42]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $9.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ [25%-50%] (%, n) $9.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ [25%-50%] (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ [75%-100%] (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	CRP (mg/L)	15.8 [4.9, 54.3]	13.6 [3.2, 23.3]	
cTn1 (ng/mL) $0.006 [0.003, 0.012]$ CK-MB (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.67]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 1419]321 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram $17.1 [42]$ 22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)17.1 [42]22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position $11.4 [28]$ 25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)27.5 $\pm$ 25.342.0 $\pm$ 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 $\pm$ 7.038.0 $\pm$ 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 $\pm$ 4.0 190.91 $\pm$ 0.22	cTnT (ng/mL)	0.017 [0.009, 0.042]	0.028 [0.015, 0.054]	
CK-MB (ng/mL)1.88 [1.18, 3.6/]3.26 [2.00, 5.30]NT-proBNP (pg/mL)426 [107, 1419]321 [40, 882]D-dimer (mg/L)5.07 [2.25, 8.27]1.6 [0.68, 6.2]Electrocardiogram510 [313 signs (%, n)6.5 [16]14.7 [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n)17.1 [42]22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)12.2 [30]10.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus positionTrunk (%, n)11.4 [28]Z5.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)27.5 $\pm$ 25.342.0 $\pm$ 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 $\pm$ 7.038.0 $\pm$ 7.0VI V diameter ratio0.84 $\pm$ 0.190.91 $\pm$ 0.22	cTnI (ng/mL)		0.006 [0.003, 0.012]	
N1-proBNP (pg/mL) $426 [107, 1419]$ $321 [40, 882]$ D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07 [2.25, 8.27]$ $1.6 [0.68, 6.2]$ Electrocardiogram $1.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $1.7.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $1.2.2 [30]$ $10.5 [10]$ Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ IV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$	CK-MB (ng/mL)	1.88 [1.18, 3.67]	3.26 [2.00, 5.30]	
D-dimer (mg/L) $5.07 [2.25, 8.27]$ $1.6 [0.68, 6.2]$ ElectrocardiogramS1Q3T3 signs (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $14.7 [14]$ Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $10.5 [10]$ Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $Trunk (\%, n)$ $23.2 [57]$ $42.1 [40]$ Bilateral trunk (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%] (\%, n)$ $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%] (\%, n)$ $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[50\%-75\%] (\%, n)$ $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%] (\%, n)$ $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ IV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ IV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/IV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	426 [107, 1419]	321 [40, 882]	
ElectrocardiogramS1Q3T3 signs (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $14.7 [14]$ Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $10.5 [10]$ Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $Trunk (\%, n)$ $23.2 [57]$ $42.1 [40]$ Bilateral trunk (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%] (\%, n)$ $9.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%] (\%, n)$ $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%] (\%, n)$ $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	D-dimer (mg/L)	5.07 [2.25, 8.27]	1.6 [0.68, 6.2]	
S1Q315 signs (%, n)6.5 [16]14.7 [14]Sinus tachycardia (%, n)17.1 [42]22.1 [21]RBBB (%, n)12.2 [30]10.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position711.4 [28]25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)24.0 [59]25.3 [24]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [18]29.5 [28]Qanadli score (%)27.5 $\pm$ 25.342.0 $\pm$ 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 $\pm$ 7.038.0 $\pm$ 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 $\pm$ 4042.0 $\pm$ 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 $\pm$ 0.190.91 $\pm$ 0.22	Electrocardiogram	6.5.51.61		
Sinus tachycardia (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $22.1 [21]$ RBBB (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $10.5 [10]$ Incomplete RBBB (%, n) $6.5 [16]$ $8.4 [8]$ Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $7$ $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	SIQ313 signs (%, n)	6.5 [16]	14.7 [14]	
RBBB (%, n)12.2 [30]10.5 [10]Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position742.1 [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n)11.4 [28]25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)24.0 [59]25.3 [24]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [18]29.5 [28]Qanadli score (%)27.5 $\pm$ 25.342.0 $\pm$ 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)24.4 [60]18.9 [18][50%-75%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 $\pm$ 7.038.0 $\pm$ 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 $\pm$ 4.042.0 $\pm$ 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 $\pm$ 0.190.91 $\pm$ 0.22	Sinus tachycardia (%, n)	17.1 [42]	22.1 [21]	
Incomplete RBBB (%, n)6.5 [16]8.4 [8]Complete RBBB (%, n)5.7 [14]2.1 [2]Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6]5.3 [5]Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position $3.2 [57]$ 42.1 [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n)11.4 [28]25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)27.5 ± 25.342.0 ± 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][50%-75%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 ± 4.042.0 ± 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 ± 0.190.91 ± 0.22	$\mathbf{RBBB}(\%, \mathbf{n})$	12.2 [30]	10.5 [10]	
Complete RBBB (%, n) $5.7 [14]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right axis deviation (%, n) $2.4 [6]$ $5.3 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n) $12.2 [30]$ $13.7 [13]$ Thrombus position $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Image (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%] (\%, n)$ $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%] (\%, n)$ $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%] (\%, n)$ $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	Incomplete RBBB (%, n)	6.5 [16]	8.4 [8]	
Right axis deviation (%, n)2.4 [6] $5.5 [5]$ Atrial arrhythmias (%, n)17.1 [42]14.7 [14]V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position $3.2 [57]$ 42.1 [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n)11.4 [28]25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)48.0 [118]29.5 [28]Qanadli score (%)27.5 ± 25.342.0 ± 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 ± 4.042.0 ± 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 ± 0.190.91 ± 0.22	Complete RBBB (%, n)	5.7[14]	2.1 [2]	
Auta anyunnas (7, n) $17.1 [42]$ $14.7 [14]$ V1-V3 TWI (%, n)12.2 [30]13.7 [13]Thrombus position $23.2 [57]$ 42.1 [40]Bilateral trunk (%, n)11.4 [28]25.3 [24]Left main PA (%, n)3.3 [8]2.1 [2]Right main PA (%, n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA (%, n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA (%, n)48.0 [118]29.5 [28]Qanadli score (%)27.5 ± 25.342.0 ± 33.5[0-25%] (%, n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] (%, n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] (%, n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 ± 4.042.0 ± 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 ± 0.190.91 ± 0.22	A trial arrhythmics $(\%, n)$	2.4 [0]	5.5 [5] 14 7 [14]	
VI-V3 IWI (%, II)12.2 [50]13.7 [13]Thrombus positionTrunk (%, n) $23.2 [57]$ $42.1 [40]$ Bilateral trunk (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $48.0 [118]$ $29.5 [28]$ Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	$V_1 = V_2 = V_1 (0/2)$	17.1 [42]	14./[14]	
Trunk (%, n) $23.2 [57]$ $42.1 [40]$ Bilateral trunk (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $48.0 [118]$ $29.5 [28]$ Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	Thrombus position	12.2 [50]	13.7 [13]	
Hunk (%, n) $23.2 [27]$ $42.1 [40]$ Bilateral trunk (%, n) $11.4 [28]$ $25.3 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $48.0 [118]$ $29.5 [28]$ Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	Trunk (% n)	23 2 [57]	42 1 [40]	
Diractal tunk (%, n) $11.4 [25]$ $22.5 [24]$ Left main PA (%, n) $3.3 [8]$ $2.1 [2]$ Right main PA (%, n) $8.5 [21]$ $14.7 [14]$ Lobar PA (%, n) $24.0 [59]$ $26.3 [25]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $48.0 [118]$ $29.5 [28]$ Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	Bilateral trunk (% n)	23.2 [37]	25 3 [24]	
Left main PA ( $\%$ , n)3.5 [6]2.1 [2]Right main PA ( $\%$ , n)8.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA ( $\%$ , n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA ( $\%$ , n)48.0 [118]29.5 [28]Qanadli score ( $\%$ )27.5 ± 25.342.0 ± 33.5[0-25 $\%$ ] ( $\%$ , n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25 $\%$ -50 $\%$ ] ( $\%$ , n)24.4 [60]18.9 [18][50 $\%$ -75 $\%$ ] ( $\%$ , n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75 $\%$ -100 $\%$ ] ( $\%$ , n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 ± 4.042.0 ± 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 ± 0.190.91 ± 0.22	Left main $PA(\%, n)$	3 3 [8]	23.3 [2+] 2 1 [2]	
Right main PA ( $\%$ , n)3.5 [21]14.7 [14]Lobar PA ( $\%$ , n)24.0 [59]26.3 [25]Segmental PA ( $\%$ , n)48.0 [118]29.5 [28]Qanadli score ( $\%$ )27.5 ± 25.342.0 ± 33.5[0-25%] ( $\%$ , n)59.8 [147]47.4 [45][25%-50%] ( $\%$ , n)24.4 [60]18.9 [18][50%-75%] ( $\%$ , n)9.3 [23]15.8 [15][75%-100%] ( $\%$ , n)3.7 [9]17.9 [17]RV short axis diameter* (mm)36.0 ± 7.038.0 ± 7.0LV short axis diameter* (mm)44.0 ± 4.042.0 ± 6.0RV/LV diameter ratio0.84 ± 0.190.91 ± 0.22	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Eight main PA} (\%, n) \\ \text{Right main PA} (\%, n) \end{array}$	8 5 [21]	14 7 [14]	
Lobal IA (%, n) $24.0 [5]$ $20.5 [22]$ Segmental PA (%, n) $48.0 [118]$ $29.5 [28]$ Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8 [147]$ $47.4 [45]$ $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7 [9]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	I  obsr  PA (% n)	24.0 [59]	26.3 [25]	
Qanadli score (%) $27.5 \pm 25.3$ $42.0 \pm 33.5$ $[0-25\%]$ (%, n) $59.8$ [147] $47.4$ [45] $[25\%-50\%]$ (%, n) $24.4$ [60] $18.9$ [18] $[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n) $9.3$ [23] $15.8$ [15] $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7$ [9] $17.9$ [17]         RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	Segmental PA $(\%, n)$	48.0 [118]	29.5 [23]	
[0-25%] (%, n)       59.8 [147]       47.4 [45]         [25%-50%] (%, n)       24.4 [60]       18.9 [18]         [50%-75%] (%, n)       9.3 [23]       15.8 [15]         [75%-100%] (%, n)       3.7 [9]       17.9 [17]         RV short axis diameter* (mm)       36.0 $\pm$ 7.0       38.0 $\pm$ 7.0         LV short axis diameter* (mm)       0.84 $\pm$ 0.19       0.91 $\pm$ 0.22	Oanadli score (%)	$27.5 \pm 25.3$	42.0 + 33.5	
$[5 25 / 6_{7} - 50 / 6_{7}]$ $[25 / 6_{7} - 50 / 6_{7}]$ $[24.4 [60]$ $18.9 [18]$ $[50 / 6_{7} - 75 / 6_{7}]$ $9.3 [23]$ $15.8 [15]$ $[75 / 6_{7} - 100 / 6_{7}]$ $9.3 [23]$ $17.9 [17]$ RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	[0-25%](% n)	59.8 [147]	47.4 [45]	
$[50\%-75\%]$ (%, n)       9.3 [23]       15.8 [15] $[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n)       3.7 [9]       17.9 [17]         RV short axis diameter* (mm)       36.0 $\pm$ 7.0       38.0 $\pm$ 7.0         LV short axis diameter* (mm)       44.0 $\pm$ 4.0       42.0 $\pm$ 6.0         RV/LV diameter ratio       0.84 $\pm$ 0.19       0.91 $\pm$ 0.22	[25%-50%] (%, n)	24.4 [60]	18.9 [18]	
$[75\%-100\%]$ (%, n) $3.7$ [9] $17.9$ [17]         RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	[50% - 75%] (%, n)	9.3 [23]	15.8 [15]	
RV short axis diameter* (mm) $36.0 \pm 7.0$ $38.0 \pm 7.0$ LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	[75%–100%] (%, n)	3.7 [9]	17.9 [17]	
LV short axis diameter* (mm) $44.0 \pm 4.0$ $42.0 \pm 6.0$ RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	RV short axis diameter* (mm)	$36.0 \pm 7.0$	$38.0 \pm 7.0$	
RV/LV diameter ratio $0.84 \pm 0.19$ $0.91 \pm 0.22$	LV short axis diameter* (mm)	$44.0 \pm 4.0$	$42.0 \pm 6.0$	
	RV/LV diameter ratio	$0.84 \pm 0.19$	$0.91 \pm 0.22$	

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of Patients in the Derivation and Validation Cohorts.

Definition of abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; WBCs, white blood cells; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; UA, uric acid; CRP, c-reactive protein; RBBB, right bundle branch block; TWI, T wave inversion; PA, pulmonary artery; RV, left ventricular; LV, right ventricular; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; CK-MB, creatine kinase and its MB isoenzyme; cTnT, cardiac troponin-T; cTnI, cardiac troponin I; MCTPA, multidetector computed tomography pulmonary angiography; SPO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen saturation.

\*detected by MCTPA.



**Fig. 3.** Correlation between electrocardiogram abnormalities and Qanadli score. (A) Association between the S1Q3T3 pattern and Qanadli score. (B) Association between sinus tachycardia and Qanadli score. (C) Association between right axis deviation and Qanadli score. (D) Association between atrial arrhythmias and Qanadli score. (E) Association between RBBB and Qanadli score. (F) Association between TWI in V1–V3 and Qanadli score. RBBB, right bundle branch block; TWI, T wave inversion. \*absent = 0; \*\*present = 1. p < 0.05 is referred as statistically significant and p < 0.001 as highly statistically significant.

3.5.2 Calibration

To further characterize the performance of accuracy, a calibration plot and the Hosmer-Lemeshow  $\chi^2$  statistic for the two risk algorithms was performed in the external validation cohort. As evidenced by the generated calibration curves shown in Fig. 5, the agreement between the observed

and predicted proportion of events indicated that both algorithms were well calibrated. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test results also corroborated the good calibration [CHARIS I model:  $\chi^2 = 10.15$ , p = 0.428 (p > 0.05); CHARIS II model:  $\chi^2 = 3.76$ , p = 0.927 (p > 0.05)].



Fig. 4. Receiver operating characteristic curves. (A) ROC curve for Qanadli score to predict right ventricular dysfunction. (B) ROC of CHARIS I model in the derivation cohort. (C) ROC of CHARIS I model in the external validation cohort. (D) ROC of CHARIS II model in the derivation cohort. (E) ROC of CHARIS II model in the external validation cohort. ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve; AUC, area under the curve; CHARIS, COPD/CHF/CHD, HTN, Atrial arrhythmias/AF, RBBB/RAD, Inverted T wave and S1Q3T3/ Sinus tachycardia.

# 3.5.3 Clinical Utility

Finally, we carried out a decision curve analysis to evaluate the clinical utility of the novel models (Fig. 6).

The CHARIS I model showed a positive net benefit across a broad range of risk thresholds from 20% to 90% in the derivation group and from 40% to 90% in the validation group. The CHARIS II model displayed consistent positive



Fig. 5. Assessing calibration of the two models in the external validation cohort. (A) Calibration plot of CHARIS I model with *p*-value for H-L test = 0.428 (p > 0.05),  $\chi^2 = 10.15$ . (B) Calibration plot of CHARIS II model with *p*-value for H-L test = 0.927 (p > 0.05),  $\chi^2 = 3.76$ . The circles indicate the observed frequencies by decile of predicted probability. The solid line represents perfect calibration. CHARIS, COPD/CHF/CHD, HTN, Atrial arrhythmias/AF, RBBB/RAD, Inverted T wave and S1Q3T3/ Sinus tachycardia.

Table 3. Correlation between electrocardiogram
performance and location of thrombus.

FCG changes	Location of thrombus			n
Let changes	Main PA	Lobar PA	Segmental PA	P
S1Q3T3	7 [50.0%]	3 [21.4%]	4 [28.6%]	< 0.001**
Sinus tachycardia	19 [46.3%]	10 [24.4%]	12 [29.3%]	< 0.001 **
RBBB	4 [13.3%]	6 [20.0%]	20 [66.7]	0.202
Right axis deviation	3 [50.0%]	0	3 [50.0%]	0.027*
Atrial arrhythmias	4 [10.5%]	12 [31.6%]	22 [57.9%]	0.218
TWI in V1–V3	9 [33.3%]	5 [18.5%]	13 [48.1%]	0.406

Definition of abbreviations: PA, pulmonary artery; RBBB, right bundle branch block; TWI, T wave inversion; ECG, electrocardiograph.

\* p < 0.05 is defined as statistically significant; \*\* p < 0.001 is defined as highly statistically significant.

results and had a large net benefit at a threshold probability ranging from 10% to 90% in the derivation group and from 30% to 90% in the validation group.

# 4. Discussion

In the present study we found that the presence of the S1Q3T3 pattern, sinus tachycardia and RAD were associated with significantly heavier thrombotic load and were more frequent in patients with pulmonary trunk embolism than peripheral embolism. Furthermore, multivariate regression analysis revealed that sinus tachycardia was an independent predictor of thrombotic load (odds ratio (OR): 2.42; p = 0.016). In addition, we observed that RBBB, atrial arrhythmias and V1–V3 TWI showed trends for correlation with the Qanadli score or with the location of thrombus. There is mounting evidence to suggest these three ECG abnormalities are more frequent in cases with massive PTE than cases with sub-massive or no PTE, while still being valuable in the prognostic assessment of PTE [5,6,13–20].

These paradoxical results may be due to our failure to screen out the new-onset RBBB, atrial arrhythmias and TWI in V1-V3, or because of the small sample size.

ECG changes in PTE essentially relates to dilatation of RV and alteration in the contractile properties of the RV myocardium induced by abrupt increase in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) [21]. Other pathophysiologic mechanisms that contribute to the ECG changes include PTE-induced release of inflammatory mediators, RV strain and hypoxia [22]. After reviewing 10 studies comprising 3007 patients with APTE, Shopp *et al.* [23] concluded that six findings of RV strain on 12-lead ECG (tachycardia, S1Q3T3, complete RBBB, TWI in V1–V4, ST elevation in aVR, and AF) were associated with an increased risk of circulatory shock and death.

Indeed, tachycardia is the only ECG abnormality included in both the original and simplified versions of the pulmonary embolism severity index (PESI) score [24,25]. According to Kucher et al. [26], a heart rate >100 bpm without specifying the presence of sinus tachycardia or supraventricular tachycardia is correlated with an escalation of therapy [6]. Kukla et al. [20] showed that atrial fibrillation was present in 231 of 975 (24%) patients with APTE, and was associated with a higher risk of mortality (23% vs 12%, OR 2.1, *p* < 0.001) and complications (31% vs 20%, OR 1.8, p < 0.001). The S1Q3T3 pattern is one of the most typical ECG findings and is found more frequently in the setting of acute massive PTE [27]. Several studies have also found that RAD on ECG was associated with the severity of PTE and with a higher risk of adverse in-hospital courses [28-30], although others found no significant difference in this regard [6,9,14]. In addition, the frequency of RBBB in association with APTE has been reported to range from 6% to 69% [16,31-35]. In the present study, RBBB was found



**Fig. 6. Decision curve analysis (DCA) for each model in the derivation and validation cohorts.** (A) DCA of CHARIS I model in the derivation cohort. (B) DCA of CHARIS I model in the external validation cohort. (C) DCA of CHARIS II model in the derivation cohort. (D) DCA of CHARIS II model for in the external validation cohort. CHARIS, COPD/CHF/CHD, HTN, Atrial arrhythmias/AF, RBBB/RAD, Inverted T wave and \$1Q3T3/ Sinus tachycardia.

in 12.2% of the derivation group and 10.5% of the validation group. Of note, PTE-related TWI is a repolarization abnormality that has been consistently reported as the most common ECG abnormality, with a variable frequency from 16% to 82.9% [17,33,36–38]. The PTE-related TWI frequency in the present study was 12.2%, which is lower than the frequencies reported in western countries. Although a uniform consensus has yet to be established for association of the above ECG abnormalities with adverse prognosis (including elevated cardiac biomarkers, RV enlargement, inhospital complications or mortality), the potential utility of ECG findings as prognostic indicators for the severity of PTE is now widely recognized.

Rodrigues B, *et al.* [39] showed that a Qanadli score >18% was correlated with RV dysfunction on echocardiography (OR 10.85; 95% CI 3.20–36.7; p < 0.001). It is in line with the cut-off value obtained in the present study (25%), and lower than that obtained by Qanadli *et al.* [12] (40%) and Wu *et al.* [40] (60%). The result reflects differences in the populations studied.

To help distinguish patients with massive PTE from those with smaller PTE, we developed the CHARIS I and

CHARIS II algorithms to calculate the risk of a Qanadli score >25% and the probability of trunk obstruction, respectively, in individual patients. Our models firstly combine the ECG findings with the comorbid diseases of COPD, HTN, CHD, CHF and AF. The risk prediction models undergo both internal and external validation processes. As expected, both algorithms were well suited for identifying patients in the derivation cohort with a heavy thrombus burden, as shown by a C-statistic of 0.72 and 0.75 for CHARIS I and CHARIS II, respectively. However, the prediction models showed slightly less discrimination in the external validation cohort. This could be interpreted by the imbalance of baseline characteristics between the two cohorts, based on the fact that patients in the derivation group had a lower mean Qanadli score (27.5% vs 42.0%, p <0.001), while a greater proportion of patients in the validation group had trunk obstruction (42.1% vs 23.2%, p =0.002). On the other hand, the calibration plot and Hosmer-Lemeshow test further confirmed and reinforced the accuracy of the prediction models in the external validation sample. Moreover, the DCA results suggest the rules were clinically useful, making them effective and feasible tools for the early identification of patients with a high Qanadli score or trunk obstruction prior to lung perfusion scintigraphy or pulmonary arteriography.

Currently, MCTPA is the preferred diagnostic modality for outpatients and emergency patients with suspected PTE. However, MCTPA is contraindicated for patients with history of severe contrast allergy, severe renal insufficiency, hyperthyroidism, or who are pregnant. Moreover, it is not available in remote areas and primary hospitals. 12channel ECG is the most affordable, simple, secure, rapidly interpretable, repeatable and noninvasive test for the diagnosis and risk assessment of PTE. In summary, the present study used ECG signs and removed the impacts of several comorbidities on ECGs to develop two novel scoring systems that can help to estimate the clot burden of PTE and decisions regarding hospitalization.

# 5. Limitations

Several limitations of our study should be addressed. Firstly, despite being a multicenter study, the sample size of the derivation and validation cohorts was relatively small, and validation with a larger patient cohort is needed. Secondly, other ECG findings that were not included in our models, such as ST-segment depression (STD) [4,6,8,10, 14], ST Elevation [6,8,10,14,41,42], low QRS voltage in the peripheral leads [4,8], ORS Fragmentation [8] and long OT [43]. These ECG changes were reported to provide valuable prognostic information in acute PTE. Therefore, the use of our rules to assist with diagnosis may result in some patients with massive PE being missed. Additionally, the severity of PTE in our study was defined by the degree of obstruction or filling defect on MCTPA. However, this is not in accordance with the current definition of massive PTE, which is characterized by hemodynamic collapse. Further research is needed to evaluate the accuracy of our algorithms for predicting the risk of adverse outcomes in patients with APTE. Another weakness of the study was that our models were not compared to previous scoring systems such as the Daniel score. Finally, patients with incomplete data were excluded on account of retrospective design, which may have introduced some patient selection bias. Despite these limitations, the greatest strength of the present investigation was the incorporation of several comorbid diseases into the models, thus reducing the confounding bias introduced by these comorbidities on the ECGs.

# 6. Conclusions

Algorithms were developed based on ECG abnormalities for quantitative and localization evaluation of thrombus burden in patients with APTE and then validated in an external population. The CHARIS I and CHARIS II models showed moderate discrimination and good calibration, while also demonstrating positive net benefits by decision curve analysis. Taken together, the novel algorithms were shown to be useful tools that could assist physicians when screening patients with massive PTE prior to imaging diagnosis, as well as in making decisions regarding patient hospitalization.

# Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# **Author Contributions**

FW, LW, DCX and YWX designed the research study. FW, CXY, XXC, HPW and KYZ made contributions to the data acquisition. FW and DCX analyzed the data. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

# **Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

All patients provided written informed consents, the study was approved by the competent ethics committee (SHSY-IEC-4.1/21-179/01) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and good clinical practice guidelines.

#### Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

#### Funding

This study was funded by Clinical Research Plan of Shanghai Hospital Development Center (No. SHDC2020CR3030B).

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Yang Y, Liang L, Zhai Z, He H, Xie W, Peng X, *et al.* Pulmonary embolism incidence and fatality trends in chinese hospitals from 1997 to 2008: a multicenter registration study. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6: e26861.
- [2] Cohen AT, Agnelli G, Anderson FA, Arcelus JI, Bergqvist D, Brecht JG, *et al.* Venous thromboembolism (VTE) in Europe. The number of VTE events and associated morbidity and mortality. Thrombosis and Haemostasis. 2007; 98: 756–764.
- [3] Goldhaber SZ, Visani L, De Rosa M. Acute pulmonary embolism: clinical outcomes in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER). The Lancet. 1999; 353: 1386–1389.
- [4] Geibel A, Zehender M, Kasper W, Olschewski M, Klima C, Konstantinides SV. Prognostic value of the ECG on admission in patients with acute major pulmonary embolism. The European Respiratory Journal. 2005; 25: 843–848.
- [5] Kim SE, Park DG, Choi HH, Yoon DH, Lee JH, Han KR, *et al.* The best predictor for right ventricular dysfunction in acute pulmonary embolism: comparison between electrocardiography and biomarkers. Korean Circulation Journal. 2009; 39: 378–381.



- [6] Kukla P, Długopolski R, Krupa E, Furtak R, Wrabec K, Szełemej R, *et al.* The value of ECG parameters in estimating myocardial injury and establishing prognosis in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Kardiologia Polska. 2011; 69: 933–938.
- [7] Kukla P, McIntyre WF, Fijorek K, Krupa E, Mirek-Bryniarska E, Jastrzębski M, *et al.* Use of ischemic ECG patterns for risk stratification in intermediate-risk patients with acute PE. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2014; 32: 1248–1252.
- [8] Kukla P, McIntyre WF, Fijorek K, Mirek-Bryniarska E, Bryniarski L, Krupa E, *et al.* Electrocardiographic abnormalities in patients with acute pulmonary embolism complicated by cardiogenic shock. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2014; 32: 507–510.
- [9] Stein PD, Matta F, Sabra MJ, Treadaway B, Vijapura C, Warren R, *et al.* Relation of electrocardiographic changes in pulmonary embolism to right ventricular enlargement. The American Journal of Cardiology. 2013; 112: 1958–1961.
- [10] Zhan ZQ, Wang CQ, Nikus KC, He CR, Wang J, Mao S, et al. Electrocardiogram patterns during hemodynamic instability in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology. 2014; 19: 543–551.
- [11] Daniel KR, Courtney DM, Kline JA. Assessment of cardiac stress from massive pulmonary embolism with 12-lead ECG. Chest. 2001; 120: 474–481.
- [12] Qanadli SD, El Hajjam M, Vieillard-Baron A, Joseph T, Mesurolle B, Oliva VL, *et al.* New CT index to quantify arterial obstruction in pulmonary embolism: comparison with angiographic index and echocardiography. AJR. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2001; 176: 1415–1420.
- [13] Petrov DB. Appearance of right bundle branch block in electrocardiograms of patients with pulmonary embolism as a marker for obstruction of the main pulmonary trunk. Journal of Electrocardiology. 2001; 34: 185–188.
- [14] Kukla P, Długopolski R, Krupa E, Furtak R, Szełemej R, Mirek-Bryniarska E, et al. Electrocardiography and prognosis of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Cardiology Journal. 2011; 18: 648–653.
- [15] Kukla P, McIntyre WF, Fijorek K, Długopolski R, Mirek-Bryniarska E, Bryniarski KL, *et al.* T-wave inversion in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: prognostic value. Heart & Lung. 2015; 44: 68–71.
- [16] Sinha N, Yalamanchili K, Sukhija R, Aronow WS, Fleisher AG, Maguire GP, *et al.* Role of the 12-lead electrocardiogram in diagnosing pulmonary embolism. Cardiology in Review. 2005; 13: 46–49.
- [17] Vanni S, Polidori G, Vergara R, Pepe G, Nazerian P, Moroni F, *et al.* Prognostic value of ECG among patients with acute pulmonary embolism and normal blood pressure. The American Journal of Medicine. 2009; 122: 257–264.
- [18] Choi BY, Park DG. Normalization of negative T-wave on electrocardiography and right ventricular dysfunction in patients with an acute pulmonary embolism. The Korean Journal of Internal Medicine. 2012; 27: 53–59.
- [19] Escobar C, Jiménez D, Martí D, Lobo JL, Díaz G, Gallego P, et al. Prognostic value of electrocardiographic findings in hemodynamically stable patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia. 2008; 61: 244– 250. (In Spanish)
- [20] Kukla P, McIntyre WF, Koracevic G, Kutlesic-Kurtovic D, Fijorek K, Atanaskovic V, *et al.* Relation of atrial fibrillation and right-sided cardiac thrombus to outcomes in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. The American Journal of Cardiology. 2015; 115: 825–830.
- [21] Marcus JT, Gan CTJ, Zwanenburg JJM, Boonstra A, Allaart CP, Götte MJW, *et al.* Interventricular mechanical asynchrony

in pulmonary arterial hypertension: left-to-right delay in peak shortening is related to right ventricular overload and left ventricular underfilling. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2008; 51: 750–757.

- [22] Sarin S, Elmi F, Nassef L. Inverted T waves on electrocardiogram: myocardial ischemia versus pulmonary embolism. Journal of Electrocardiology. 2005; 38: 361–363.
- [23] Shopp JD, Stewart LK, Emmett TW, Kline JA. Findings From 12-lead Electrocardiography That Predict Circulatory Shock From Pulmonary Embolism: Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2015; 22: 1127– 1137.
- [24] Aujesky D, Obrosky DS, Stone RA, Auble TE, Perrier A, Cornuz J, *et al.* Derivation and validation of a prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2005; 172: 1041–1046.
- [25] Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Moores L, Gómez V, Lobo JL, Uresandi F, *et al.* Simplification of the pulmonary embolism severity index for prognostication in patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2010; 170: 1383–1389.
- [26] Kucher N, Walpoth N, Wustmann K, Noveanu M, Gertsch M. QR in V1–an ECG sign associated with right ventricular strain and adverse clinical outcome in pulmonary embolism. European Heart Journal. 2003; 24: 1113–1119.
- [27] Yoshinaga T, Ikeda S, Shikuwa M, Miyahara Y, Kohno S. Relationship between ECG findings and pulmonary artery pressure in patients with acute massive pulmonary thromboembolism. Circulation Journal. 2003; 67: 229–232.
- [28] Agrawal N, Ramegowda RT, Patra S, Hegde M, Agarwal A, Kolhari V, et al. Predictors of inhospital prognosis in acute pulmonary embolism: keeping it simple and effective! Blood Coagulation & Fibrinolysis. 2014; 25: 492–500.
- [29] Kumasaka N, Sakuma M, Shirato K. Clinical features and predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients with acute and chronic pulmonary thromboembolism. Internal Medicine. 2000; 39: 1038–1043.
- [30] Ermis N, Ermis H, Sen N, Kepez A, Cuglan B. QT dispersion in patients with pulmonary embolism. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift. 2010; 122: 691–697.
- [31] Ullman E, Brady WJ, Perron AD, Chan T, Mattu A. Electrocardiographic manifestations of pulmonary embolism. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2001; 19: 514–519.
- [32] Panos RJ, Barish RA, Whye DW, Jr, Groleau G. The electrocardiographic manifestations of pulmonary embolism. The Journal of Emergency Medicine. 1988; 6: 301–307.
- [33] Chan TC, Vilke GM, Pollack M, Brady WJ. Electrocardiographic manifestations: pulmonary embolism. The Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2001; 21: 263–270.
- [34] Sreeram N, Cheriex EC, Smeets JL, Gorgels AP, Wellens HJ. Value of the 12-lead electrocardiogram at hospital admission in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. The American Journal of Cardiology. 1994; 73: 298–303.
- [35] Ferrari E, Imbert A, Chevalier T, Mihoubi A, Morand P, Baudouy M. The ECG in pulmonary embolism. Predictive value of negative T waves in precordial leads–80 case reports. Chest. 1997; 111: 537–543.
- [36] Stein PD, Dalen JE, McIntyre KM, Sasahara AA, Wenger NK, Willis PW, 3rd. The electrocardiogram in acute pulmonary embolism. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 1975; 17: 247– 257.
- [37] Hasegawa K, Sawayama T, Ibukiyama C, Muramatsu J, Ozawa Y, Kanemoto N, *et al.* Early diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism: clinical evaluation those of 225 cases. Kokyu to Junkan. Respiration & Circulation. 1993; 41: 773–777. (In Japanese)

- [38] Tayama E, Ouchida M, Teshima H, Takaseya T, Hiratsuka R, Akasu K, *et al.* Treatment of acute massive/submassive pulmonary embolism. Circulation Journal. 2002; 66: 479–483.
- [39] Rodrigues B, Correia H, Figueiredo A, Delgado A, Moreira D, Ferreira Dos Santos L, *et al.* Clot burden score in the evaluation of right ventricular dysfunction in acute pulmonary embolism: quantifying the cause and clarifying the consequences. Portuguese Journal of Cardiology. 2012; 31: 687–695. (In Portuguese)
- [40] Wu AS, Pezzullo JA, Cronan JJ, Hou DD, Mayo-Smith WW. CT pulmonary angiography: quantification of pulmonary embolus as a predictor of patient outcome–initial experience. Radiology. 2004; 230: 831–835.
- [41] Janata K, Höchtl T, Wenzel C, Jarai R, Fellner B, Geppert A, et al. The role of ST-segment elevation in lead aVR in the risk assessment of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Clinical Research in Cardiology. 2012; 101: 329–337.
- [42] Kukla P, Długopolski R, Krupa E, Furtak R, Mirek-Bryniarska E, Jastrzębski M, *et al.* The prognostic value of ST-segment elevation in the lead aVR in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Kardiologia Polska. 2011; 69: 649–654.
- [43] Punukollu G, Gowda RM, Khan IA, Wilbur SL, Vasavada BC, Sacchi TJ. QT interval prolongation with global T-wave inversion: a novel ECG finding in acute pulmonary embolism. Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology. 2004; 9: 94–98.